Helpful Resources

Academic Calendar: https://www.iit.edu/registrar/academic-calendar

Center for Disability Resources: https://www.iit.edu/cdr

Clinical Psychology Program: https://www.iit.edu/academics/programs/psychology-specialization-clinical-psychology-phd

Code of Academic Honesty: https://web.iit.edu/student-affairs/handbook/fine-print/code-academic-honesty


Eating on campus: https://www.dineoncampus.com/iit

Graduate Academic Affairs (dates, deadlines, forms, etc.): http://web.iit.edu/gaa/students

HawkCard: https://www.iit.edu/cbsc/campus-access/hawkcard

IIT Commitment to Diversity and Inclusion: https://www.iit.edu/president/commitment-diversity-and-inclusion

IIT Student Handbook: https://web.iit.edu/student-affairs/handbook

Library: https://library.iit.edu/

myIIT Portal: https://my.iit.edu/

Office of Student Affairs: https://www.iit.edu/student-affairs

Office of Technology Services: https://ots.iit.edu/getting-started/current-students

Ombudsperson contact information: https://web.iit.edu/student-affairs/campus-resources/ombudsperson

Parking Permits: https://web.iit.edu/acaps/parking/parking-permits/student-permits

Public Safety: https://www.iit.edu/public-safety

Student Health and Wellness Center: https://www.iit.edu/shwc

Thesis Examiner: https://www.iit.edu/gaa/thesis

U-Pass Program for discounted public transportation: https://web.iit.edu/student-affairs/u-pass-program
Introduction to Clinical Psychology Training Program

Illinois Institute of Technology’s (i.e., “Illinois Tech” or “IIT”) Clinical Psychology Ph.D. Program has been continuously accredited by the American Psychological Association since 1982 and offers intensive training based on the Boulder Scientist/Practitioner model and cognitive-behavioral theoretical foundations. The program emphasizes a balance of health service psychology and the understanding and conduct of clinical psychological research. Clinical practice training includes extensive experience with diverse populations. A student’s training in practice and research begins in the first year and continues throughout their graduate career. Several students each year are admitted into a Rehabilitation concentration, which is described in detail below. The focus of the training program for all students reflects current trends in the field and the interests of the clinical faculty. The current Core clinical faculty (per APA accreditation) and their research interests are as follows (in alphabetical order):

Steff Du Bois (he/they), Assistant Professor (Ph.D., University of Illinois at Chicago, 2013). Health psychology, health equity, health among sexual and gender minority (SGM) and racial/ethnic minority individuals, health in romantic relationships.

Rachael Ellison (she/her), Assistant Professor and Associate DCT/Practicum Coordinator (Ph.D., Clinical/Community Psychology, DePaul University, 2017). Clinical Neuropsychology, stress on cognitive performance, cognitive neuroscience, social justice, cognitive rehabilitation.

Alissa Haedt-Matt (she/her), Associate Professor and Director of Clinical Training (Ph.D., University of Iowa, 2012). Eating and weight disorders, body image, affect regulation, ecological momentary assessment.

The Clinical Program can be completed in five years of full-time study, including a one-year APA-accredited predoctoral internship, although seven years is more common. Students typically take 12 credit hours fall and spring semesters of the first year, followed by 5 credit hours in the summer between the first and second years in the program. The complete program consists of 96 credit hours. The program also includes three years of external practica (~16-20 hours per week) in the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th years at sites in the Chicagoland area, with an optional 4th year of practicum. Students are required to conduct research for their Master's thesis and dissertation. There is also a comprehensive exam which consists of an oral presentation in which the student is required to demonstrate competence in the ability to integrate practice and research by presenting a case formulation based on the current literature relevant to the case.
Accreditation

Illinois Tech's Ph.D. in Psychology with a specialization in Clinical Psychology has been fully accredited by the American Psychological Association (APA) since 1982. Our next re-accreditation self-study and site visit is set for 2031. For more information on APA accreditation, please visit the APA's accreditation website (https://www.apa.org/ed/accreditation) or write to the address below:

APA OFFICE OF PROGRAM CONSULTATION AND ACCREDITATION
750 First Street, NE Washington, DC, 20002-4242
Phone: 202.336.5979
TDD/TTY: 202.336.6123
Fax: 202.336.5978
Email: apaaccrd@apa.org

The Clinical Psychology program is housed in the Department of Psychology at Illinois Institute of Technology, an institution of higher education accredited by the Higher Learning Commission. Illinois Institute of Technology formed by the merger of Armour Institute and Lewis Institute in 1940 and first received accreditation in 1941. Its most recent affirmation of accreditation occurred in the year 2016.

Admission

The minimum requirements for admission are 18 credits of undergraduate psychology with course work in research methods or statistics. Applicants are evaluated by the Clinical Program faculty based on grades, research and clinical experiences, fit of research interests with potential mentors, letters of recommendation, and a statement of professional goals. The requirement for general GRE scores has been removed as an admissions criterion.

Applicants to the Clinical Program are evaluated annually during the spring semester for admission to the program with a start date at the beginning of the subsequent fall semester. The application deadline is January 1. Following review of applications by clinical faculty, acceptance decisions are announced in mid-late March and may continue through mid-April.

Students already taking courses at Illinois Tech but not admitted to the Clinical Program must apply formally by submitting a complete application and will be evaluated competitively with other applicants.
Diversity Focus

The Clinical Program aims to create a professional training environment that respects and celebrates cultural and individual differences in all aspects of the program. Preparing students to navigate cultural and individual differences in research and practice is a priority for the Clinical Program at Illinois Tech. Examples of this philosophy and action include the following (in no particular order):

1. The Clinical Program, Department of Psychology, and Illinois Tech actively promote information that reflects our values of inclusiveness and diversity, such as IIT’s statement on Community, Inclusion, and Diversity (https://web.iit.edu/diversity and in the appendix). The Clinical Program also recently created a formal response to racism, police brutality, and systemic oppression against Black people in the U.S. (see appendix).

2. We prioritize individual and cultural diversity in our curriculum. For example, all Clinical Program students must successfully complete PSYC 504: Individual and Cultural Differences before advancing to Ph.D. candidacy. This course specifically addresses diversity and difference, by discussing race and ethnicity, socioeconomic status and wealth inequality, education, housing and the built environment, healthcare access, biological sex and gender, and ability. Additionally, such topics are integrated throughout the curriculum in other courses, which helps solidify the message that exploring potential biases related to differences and diversity is a lifelong task.

3. The Clinical Program strives to expand and reinforce the current list of practicum opportunities in Chicagoland, particularly emphasizing sites that place a high value on diversity training and also serve a highly diverse patient population. Chicago is one of the most diverse cities in the United States, and within this training arena, there are many highly rich opportunities for our trainees to work with diverse populations. Recent but non-exhaustive examples of student placements include HIV Care at Mercy Hospital, Hartgrove Hospital, MacNeal Hospital–Euclid Center, Jesse Brown and Hines VAs—all of these serve a high percentage of racial/ethnic minorities and other minority populations (e.g., the high percentage of LGBTQ+ at HIV Care at Mercy Hospital).

4. A number of Core and Affiliate Psychology faculty conduct research that emphasizes or includes constructs related to diversity, inclusion, equity, and racial/social justice. Accordingly, many Clinical Program students conduct this research, either in collaboration with their research advisors, and/or on independent research projects.

5. Students are required to consider issues related to cultural diversity as they complete program milestones. For example, when students complete their comprehensive exam, in which they contextualize their clinical work with a client in the broader, related empirical literature and theoretical frameworks, they must discuss in-depth emergent issues related to the client’s cultural identifications. This integration of diversity is a critical component of the comprehensive exam evaluation process.
6. The Clinical Program has joined a coalition of Chicagoland Clinical Psychology Ph.D. programs to hold an annual event called Chicagoland Diversifying Clinical Psychology. This half-day event includes a presentation about the Ph.D. in clinical psychology, tips and strategies for getting into Ph.D. programs, and panels of questions and answers, first with faculty and then with current graduate students representing underrepresented populations.

7. The Clinical Program has a Student Diversity Committee, supported by a faculty advisor, with a primary goal of enhancing diversity-related activities, support, and training to the program. Other Student Committees (e.g., the Student Colloquium Committee) bring to campus various speakers for students and faculty to meet, and these speakers often focus on diversity topics.

8. The Clinical Program has prioritized awarding scholarships to students who identify as coming from an underrepresented group. Awards include the Mary Vermillion Scholarship, the Sidney Rubin and Robert Schleser Award, and the IIT Clinical Psychology Program Social Justice Award.

9. Part of our ongoing plan to enhance recruitment of a diverse student body includes the continuation of our holistic review of applicants to the Clinical Program. That is, in admissions procedures, we take a holistic approach, which utilizes broad criteria and emphasizes the Clinical Program's aims and minimizing dependency on test scores. General GRE scores and GPAs provide information on the abilities and prior achievements of applicants, but we also recognize that they do not provide the whole picture, particularly for students who come from backgrounds of varying privilege. In fact, the program has removed the GRE as an admissions requirement.

10. Students are evaluated twice yearly by their Illinois Tech academic advisors and practica supervisors regarding their ability to understand and practice cultural humility. Students who receive below average ratings receive remediation.

11. The Clinical Program joined the BRIDGE Psychology Network, which has the following description on the website, “Clinical psychology graduate programs have been working in parallel to develop initiatives that promote diversity and inclusion. The BRIDGE Psychology network aims to connect students to these programs, foster collaboration between graduate programs, and improve initiatives that nurture inclusivity and diversity in psychology graduate programs (and beyond!).” Please see http://www.bridgepsychology.org/ for more details.

12. Clinical Program faculty and students often seek out leadership opportunities on campus to champion efforts related to diversity. The Coalition on Inclusion is one major movement at the university level that has been largely spearheaded by faculty in the Department of Psychology. It has provided a place for faculty to meet and discuss ways of making the campus safer for diverse students, faculty, and staff. They have facilitated the development of “safe spaces” for campus community members, and sponsored important events pertinent to diversity.
**Full-Time Status and Leaves of Absence**

Students are considered full-time if they are registered for at least 9 credits or a single credit of PSYC 591 (Master’s Thesis), PSYC 691 (Dissertation), PSYC 600 (Continuing Registration), PSYC 599 (Internship), or GCS 600 (for finalizing the written dissertation after formally defending; see Dissertation section for more details). Maintaining full-time status is critical to maintain deferral of payback of student loans. This may require planning for when to take PSYC 591 and PSYC 691 credits (e.g., taking them in semesters in which one would not otherwise have 9 credits). This is especially true for students transferring previous graduate work. Note that the number of PSYC 591 and PSYC 691 credits taken in a semester does not need to correspond to the amount of work done.

Students need to be registered every fall and spring semester until they graduate. There is no requirement to register in the summer, except for the summer between the first and second year. A student also must be registered for at least one credit (of anything) in the semester (fall, spring or summer) that they defend the thesis or dissertation, take the comprehensive exam, and graduate. Receiving financial aid during the summer also requires being registered in that semester. If a student does not register, they need to apply and receive approval for a program Leave of Absence. If a student does not register or obtain a program Leave of Absence during a semester, the student will be placed on probationary status with the expectation that they will resume continuous registration the next semester, excluding summer. A student can only be placed on probationary status for non-continuous enrollment one time while in the program. If it occurs a second time, the student’s status in the program will be reviewed by Clinical Program faculty, with student program status outcomes including, but not limited to, program dismissal.

A Leave of Absence will be granted only for qualifying circumstances and, for the duration of the leave, will automatically pause the training program and milestone deadlines (see below for more on milestone deadlines and pausing the timeline). A Leave of Absence is defined in semester units (e.g., a student can be on leave for fall semester but not for two specific weeks in the fall semester). Qualifying circumstances will be evaluated by program faculty on a case-by-case basis. A non-exhaustive list of examples may include child bearing, major medical or mental health problems, personal crises (e.g., death of a parent or spouse), and immigration disruptions. All qualifying circumstances must be verified.
Course Requirements

Students complete 96 credit hours for the Ph.D. degree in the Clinical Program. The required courses include 12 credit hours in affective and cognitive, biological, and social bases of behavior and individual and cultural differences (PSYC 501, 502, 503, 504). These four courses form a core sequence taken by all Ph.D. students in the Department of Psychology and represent the university’s Qualifying Examination. These courses should be completed by the end of the second year. Students also are required to complete 4 credit hours of practicum (PSYC 533), 30 hours of research credits (6 thesis credits of PSYC 591 and 24 dissertation credits of PSYC 691), and 9 hours of electives. The Ph.D. degree course requirements and how they fulfill APA requirements are listed below followed by a sample program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title (Course number)</th>
<th>Credit hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. DISCIPLINE-SPECIFIC KNOWLEDGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category I: History and Systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History and Systems of Psychology (505)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Category II: Basic Content Areas | |
| Biological Bases of Behavior (501) | 3 |
| Social Bases of Behavior (502) | 3 |
| Cognitive and Affective Bases of Behavior (503) | 3 |

Note. The basic content area of “Developmental Bases of Behavior” is covered in PSYC 525 Developmental Psychopathology, listed below.

| Category III: Advanced Integrative | |
| This requirement is satisfied with PSYC 503 above | |

| Category IV: Research, Statistics, and Psychometrics | |
| Research Methods (540) | 3 |
| Graduate Statistics I (545) | 3 |
| Graduate Statistics II (546) | 3 |
| Multivariate Statistics (554) | 3 |
| Psychometric Theory (511) | 3 |

II. PROFESSION-WIDE COMPETENCIES

| Diversity | |
| Individual and Cultural Differences (504) | 3 |

| Ethics | |
| Ethics and Professional Issues I (508) | 2 |
| Ethics and Professional Issues II (509) | 1 |
**Assessment and Psychopathology Courses**

Assessment I: Cognitive Assessment (510) 3
Assessment II: Psychodiagnostic and Personality Assessment (512) 3
Psychopathology (526) 3
Developmental Psychopathology (525) 3

**Intervention Courses**
Therapy I (506) 3
Therapy II (507) 3

**Practicum and Internship Courses**
Clinical Practicum (533) 4
(1 credit per fall & spring semesters, years 2-3; practicum is required in year 4 but does not require a course registration)
Internship (599) 2
(1 credit fall or spring semester and 1 credit in the summer ending internship)

**Independent Research Courses**
Master’s thesis (591) 6
Dissertation (691) 24

1 Students entering the program with a prior earned Master’s degree in psychology with a research-based thesis (quantitative or qualitative) may qualify for a waiver of the PSYC 591 thesis requirement (six credit hours).

2 Up to three PSYC 600 (continuing education) credits can be substituted for PSYC 691 credits, but only after the Qualifying Exam has been completed (see below for more about the Qualifying Exam, which is not the same as the Comprehensive Exam).

**III. ELECTIVE COURSES:**
3 3-credit seminars of the student’s choosing 9
(See “Rehab Concentration” for specific elective requirements)

Examples of Electives from recent years:
Social Determinants of Health Eating and Weight Disorders
Neuropsychological Assessment Grant Writing
Sexual, Gender, and Relationship Diversity Affective Disorders
Structural Equation Modeling Fear and Anxiety Disorders
Assessment/Treatment of Young Children Business of Clinical Psychology

*Total Credit Hours Needed for Degree Program = 96*
Sample Program

Most courses are taken in the exact sequence shown, but course offerings each semester may change depending on faculty availability. PSYC 591, 691 and electives are taken at various times, and the list below is just an example. Each student should develop a specific plan with their advisor. Electives are sometimes offered in the summer semester, and there are typically practica and research responsibilities in the summer.

**FIRST YEAR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fall</th>
<th>Spring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>504 Individual &amp; Cultural Differences</td>
<td>501 Biological Bases of Behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>526 Psychopathology</td>
<td>506 Therapy I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545 Graduate Statistics I</td>
<td>546 Graduate Statistics II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510 Assessment I (cognitive assessment)</td>
<td>512 Assessment II (psychodiagnostic and personality assessment)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summer** (between first and second years)
508 Ethics and Professional Issues I
540 Research Methods

**SECOND YEAR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fall</th>
<th>Spring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>503 Cognitive and Affective Bases</td>
<td>502 Social Bases of Behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>507 Therapy II</td>
<td>525 Developmental Psychopathology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective</td>
<td>Elective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591 Master’s Thesis (2)</td>
<td>591 Master’s Thesis (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533 Practicum (1)</td>
<td>533 Practicum (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THIRD YEAR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fall</th>
<th>Spring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>554 Multivariate Statistics</td>
<td>509 Ethics and Professional Issues II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511 Psychometric Theory</td>
<td>505 History and Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective</td>
<td>591 Master’s Thesis (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533 Practicum (1)</td>
<td>533 Practicum (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSEQUENT YEARS**

Electives if still needed, 691 Dissertation (24), 599 Internship (2; fall or spring, and summer)
The Rehabilitation Concentration/Track

This track focuses on clinical applications in rehabilitation settings. Applicants should indicate their desire to enter this track in their initial application and are admitted to the specialization when accepted into the Clinical Program. Students fulfill the usual requirements for the Ph.D. in Clinical Psychology, enroll in specific electives from the Rehabilitation Psychology Program, and complete clinical practica in relevant settings. Research is conducted in the lab of a Rehabilitation Program faculty member, who is their research advisor and mentor. Students also have a clinical advisor from the Clinical Faculty. The dissertation committee for Rehabilitation Track students includes four faculty members, two from each of the Clinical and Rehabilitation faculties. The 3 required elective seminars in Rehab curriculum are as follows:

573 Psychosocial Bases of Disability
575 Adult Career Development and Vocational Behavior

Choose either 581 or 588:
581 Neuropsychological Assessment
588 Grant Writing

Transferring Graduate Courses Already Completed and Waiving the Master’s Thesis

Some graduate course credits can be transferred from other universities to meet the Clinical Program requirements. The maximum number of credits that can be transferred is 32. To be transferred, a course is evaluated on its overall level and quality and on its educational appropriateness as part of the Illinois Tech Clinical Psychology Program. To the extent possible, approval of course transfers should be completed as soon as possible. To arrange for credit transfers, a student presents the syllabus of the course previously taken to the Illinois Tech faculty member who teaches that course (or whose area is closest if the course is not given at Illinois Tech), and to the Director of Clinical Training (DCT). The student also must document that they received a grade of A or B in the course by sending a transcript to the Registrar. These courses must be consistent with the Illinois Tech training program. For educational reasons, an advisor may require that a particular course be taken at Illinois Tech. If the course transfer is approved, the faculty member and the DCT will sign a paper-based course transfer form, which is placed in the student’s file. Once this paper-based form has been completed, the student must initiate a course transfer process in eForms through the myIIT portal. Although the processes are duplicative, the paper copy is needed for the Clinical Program’s student file, whereas the eForms process is necessary for changing requirements in Illinois Tech’s system for tracking your program of study requirements. The student also will be required to submit a transcript to the registrar’s office documenting the grade.

Occasionally a student has taken graduate psychology courses at Illinois Tech prior to admission to the Illinois Tech Clinical Program. A maximum of 9 such credits can be counted toward an Illinois Tech graduate degree.
All courses may be transferred except for the following: Ethics and Professional Issues I and II (508, 509), Therapy I, II (506, 507), Psychopathology (526), and Practicum (533).

Graduate Statistics I & II (545, 546) and Multivariate Statistics (554) can be transferred, but a written exam may be required. Two of the 3 required elective courses may be transferred.

A Master’s thesis may be accepted from another university if: (a) the Illinois Tech faculty advisor and the DCT determine it to be satisfactory based on Clinical Program standards and (b) the thesis is accepted in the original Master’s program by December 31st of the student’s first year in the clinical program at Illinois Tech. The Master’s degree itself is not transferred. Instead, the 6 Illinois Tech credits of PSYC 591 are waived and not included in the student’s requirements to graduate. If the prior Master’s thesis is not approved, the usual Illinois Tech Master’s thesis requirements apply. It may be possible to continue research that began prior to the program at Illinois Tech to serve as the Illinois Tech Master’s thesis, but this needs to be negotiated between the student and their Illinois Tech advisor. From the Clinical Program’s perspective, this becomes strictly an Illinois Tech thesis. (If this work was begun in collaboration with others, the student may need to reach an understanding with them regarding data access, publication, etc.)

Advisors

Students are accepted into the research lab of a particular faculty member at the time of admission to the Clinical Program. This research advisor may be from outside the core clinical faculty (i.e., Rehab, I/O, or Undergraduate Program faculty). This faculty member serves as the student’s primary advisor and research mentor. The advisor should be a faculty member with research interests aligned with those of the student, as both the advisor and the student will work closely together on research including the advisor’s lab projects and the student’s thesis and dissertation. Students whose research advisors are from outside the core clinical faculty are also assigned a clinical faculty advisor who provides oversight on the other aspects of the program (see below).

In addition to the research requirements of the students in their labs, core clinical faculty are responsible for overseeing all aspects of the training of their students, including providing general advising about the clinical program curriculum, overseeing and chairing the comprehensive exam, and assisting with internship application preparation. Students who have a research advisor who is not a core clinical faculty member also will be assigned an academic advisor from the Clinical Program (referred to as the clinical advisor) who will be responsible for the aspects of the program not directly related to the research requirements described above (i.e., advising about the clinical program curriculum, chairing the comprehensive exam, and assisting with internship application preparation). For students with a research advisor who is a core clinical faculty member, the clinical advisor and research advisor are the same. The Illinois Tech Clinical Program requires mentors and mentees to follow principles outlined in the appendix.
A student’s research interests can change over the course of their graduate career. In this case, the student can change to an advisor whose research area more closely fits the student's newly developed interests. This should be discussed with the existing advisor first, the potential new advisor, and the DCT. Such a change will not affect the student’s program requirements. A change of advisor form must be completed if the student changes advisors.

**Practicum Training**

Each student spends 16-20 hours per week during their second, third and fourth years at practicum sites in community settings. In the first practicum (2nd year), students typically work in a range of sites in the Chicagoland area doing psychotherapy with diverse adult populations. In the second and third practica (3rd and 4th years), which are called *advanced* practica, students obtain more specialized experience based on their specific interests and training goals. Examples of specialized training sites for advanced practica include those focusing on neuropsychological assessment, health psychology, anxiety and mood disorders, pediatric psychology, behavioral medicine, rehabilitation, inpatient and outpatient mental health, and child assessment and therapy. Second-year students can start with an advanced practicum if they have prior (pre-Illinois Tech) supervised clinical experience. However, this prior experience will be evaluated by the clinical faculty to determine if it meets the criteria for an Illinois Tech psychotherapy practicum. Students are required to consult with the Practicum Coordinator to request approval for pursuing an advanced practicum placement in the second year.

Students are allowed to do a fourth external practicum, especially to reduce perceived limitations in their training, address specific needs related to internship applications to particular sites, or prevent long gaps without clinical training (e.g., applying for internship after two years with no practicum experience). This 4th external practicum must be approved by the student’s advisor who communicates their approval to the Associate DCT/Practicum Coordinator. Except in unusual circumstances, the normal practicum sequence easily provides sufficient practicum hours for internship.

The Associate DCT serves as the Practicum Coordinator and meets with practicum students in the fall semester preceding the practicum to describe the sites and the procedures for applying to them. Students also take Therapy I (spring prior to starting practicum) and Therapy II (fall concurrent with the first external practicum in 2nd year) to prepare them for this applied clinical training.

There are a number of options for keeping track of and recording practicum hours. However, currently, the standardized application for internships (i.e., the APPI) requires uploading clinical hour summaries directly from Time2Track. There is no other way to input hours into the APPI, including manually. However, accessing the summary feature of Time2Track for this purpose is currently free.
Students receive written evaluations of their clinical work at the end of each semester on a Practicum Evaluation Form. These evaluations also are important components in the student's year-end evaluations. Please see the appendix for a copy of the Practicum Evaluation Form. For accreditation purposes, all items on the Practicum Evaluation Form (see appendix) represent Minimum Levels of Achievement (MLAs; for more details on what MLA means, please see the section below called Minimum Levels of Achievement) and therefore must receive a rating of 3 or higher. A rating below a 3 will trigger program action. If a student receives a rating of 2, at their discretion, the Clinical Faculty may require extra work on this competency area in practicum supervision (i.e., with collaboration from the on-site supervisor and/or adding an additional complementary supervisor from off-site or from core faculty). Failure to demonstrate minimal competency in these area(s) in question using only a supervision approach will automatically trigger a more formal remediation plan. If a student receives a rating of 2, Clinical Faculty, at their discretion, may elect to implement a formal remediation plan instead of targeting the competency concerns in supervision alone. Students who receive a score of 1 on any item will automatically receive a formal remediation plan to address any concerns about the competency area(s). Any action plans based on ratings below a 3 must be followed up with documentation that the student has subsequently mastered the competency area(s) in question. Failure to remediate would result in a recommendation for student probation or dismissal.

Students receive weekly clinical supervision from an on-site supervisor for each practicum experience. Currently, during the first practicum experience (2nd year), all students meet with a second supervisor each week to broaden and reinforce training. This secondary supervisor may be affiliated faculty or a core faculty in the Department of Psychology at Illinois Tech. Second-year students who are approved to take an advanced practicum in the second year can request to have this second supervision requirement waived. The clinical faculty must formally approve this waiver, which usually occurs at the same time that the student requests an advanced practicum placement. Students are required to consult with the Practicum Coordinator to request waiving the second supervision requirement during an advanced practicum placement.

All practica are formal arrangements between the external agency and the Clinical Program (i.e., not the student) and must be arranged through the Practicum Coordinator. Therefore, students cannot independently establish or alter these arrangements (e.g., create a practicum, stop attending practicum, or alter work hours outside the 16-20 hour/week window.) This ensures that practica provide appropriate experiences and supervision, that students are not competing inappropriately for positions, that we maintain good relationships with our practicum sites, and that students are covered by the Illinois Tech malpractice insurance. The Practicum Coordinator is always enthusiastic to learn about potential practicum sites to see if a formal training agreement can be established. Student concerns about requirements placed on students by a practicum site should be discussed with the Practicum Coordinator.
The practicum training agencies are an important part of Illinois Tech’s clinical training. Faculty and students should maintain a positive relationship with these practicum agencies through frequent consultation and communication. We are guests of these agencies, and they have ultimate ethical and legal responsibility for their clients. Any adjunctive supervision from Illinois Tech faculty should be viewed through a lens of consultation, with final treatment decisions resting with the agencies.

Students who wish to pursue practicum training at some sites may be required to complete an extra vetting process that requires the DCT to view and keep records of sensitive information. As one example, the Veteran’s Affairs (VA) facilities call this the Trainee Qualifications and Credentials Verification Letter (TQCVL). The TQCVL is a letter that DCTs are required to sign and submit to the VA on behalf of each trainee who will be working at the VA. The letter contains personal and medical information (e.g., vaccination status) to which the DCT is customarily not privy. In the appendix, please find (1) the program’s policy and a set of guidelines for handling this VA requirement and (2) the form with sensitive questions that you will be required to complete. If you do not wish to complete the TQCVL, then you should not apply to work at a VA facility. A similar process may be required for other sites outside of the VAs (e.g., Loyola University Medical Center, University of Chicago Medicine), and in these cases, a similar procedure to the TQCVL applies and is included in the appendix. Students are responsible for checking with sites when they apply to determine if they have this type of vetting process, because there have been occasions when sites have added this to their criteria for a position.

Non-Practicum Clinical Activities: Chicago Public Schools Assistantship

Pending availability of CPS funding for the testing program, incoming first-year clinical students have an opportunity to participate in a clinically-relevant experience through Illinois Tech’s Center for Research and Leadership: testing children applying to the Chicago Public School (CPS) Selective Enrollment Program. This is a voluntary assistantship opportunity with compensation. Students are trained in the ethics, professional roles, and administration and scoring of tests designed for screening children ages 4-12. Tests are administered both individually and in groups, depending upon the age of the child.

Students are supervised throughout this experience by staff of the Center for Research and advanced graduate students. Students spend approximately 20 hours per week during the semester from November through the beginning of March on the project. Students spend 40 hours per week on the project during the winter break. In September/October, approximately 5 hours per week is devoted to training, and the same amount of time in March and April is spent answering telephone calls from parents with questions about the testing. More advanced students have opportunities to work on the CPS project, sometimes as examiners, and often, as supervisors of examiners.
Clinical Activities Outside of the Illinois Tech Program

Students in the Clinical Program must obtain approval from the Clinical Program to provide any clinical services outside of Illinois Tech (broadly defined) in any context. This includes volunteer and paid work. This policy is designed with two concerns: (a) that students provide services appropriate to their level of expertise; and (b) that services occur in a professional and ethical manner, with appropriate supervision. This applies to all clinical services (e.g., psychological and neurological assessment in a clinical or research setting, therapy of any modality, consultation to staff members, acting as a group facilitator, and counseling services).

This policy is not designed to impede students from expanding their experience outside of Illinois Tech. Students planning these activities should submit a brief written description to the Practicum Coordinator before beginning such activities, including the following information: name of the institution where the work will be done; the populations served; the nature of the activities; the frequency of supervision; and the supervisor’s name, professional credentials, and telephone number.

Hours from outside clinical activities can be counted as hours on internship applications IF they are formally approved as such by the Clinical Faculty. Criteria are that the activities correspond to those that would be practica as part of the Illinois Tech Clinical Program and that the student receives at least an hour of supervision each week from a licensed clinical psychologist. It is best if students apply for this approval before or as soon as they start to engage in these activities (as opposed to when completing internship applications), which can be done by completing the Clinical Psychology Program Request to Approve Work Hours as Practicum Hours Form (see Appendix) and sending it to the Practicum Coordinator. The Practicum Coordinator will present the request to the Clinical Faculty who will determine if the criteria to count the work hours as practicum hours are met. Please remember that more hours do not always equal more competitive when it comes to internships applications (e.g., sometimes it is better to invest time in research opportunities instead). If students have questions or concerns about the number of hours and competitiveness for internship applications, they should consult with their advisors or the DCT.

Research Training

Research training is an integral aspect of the Clinical Program at IIT. Research training begins in labs in the fall semester of the student’s first year and continues throughout the student’s graduate training, including during the summer months. The student’s research activities normally are conducted with their advisor but also may involve work with other clinical and nonclinical faculty members. Although an apprentice relationship with a single faculty member is mutually beneficial, changes in primary research affiliation can occur. Research training also includes the Master’s thesis and the Dissertation, which are discussed below. All research that students participate in must conform to APA ethical guidelines, and all Illinois Tech research must be approved by the Illinois Tech Institutional Review Board (IRB) prior to recruiting participants and
collecting data. IRB approval includes obtaining certification from an online research ethics training course, which is also required by the Clinical Program.

**Master's Thesis and Master's Degree**

All students must complete a Master's thesis to obtain a Ph.D. from our program. However, students entering the program with a Master's degree with a thesis in psychology or a closely related field may waive the thesis requirement if the faculty advisor and the DCT determine that it is equivalent to an Illinois Tech Master's thesis (see transfer requirements and procedures above.) Students entering the program with a Master’s degree but without a thesis need to complete the usual thesis requirement. Students completing an Illinois Tech thesis will obtain a M.S. in Psychology as part of the Ph.D. program (this is not specifically in clinical psychology, since there is no such degree at Illinois Tech). We do not have a terminal Master’s program, and all students are expected to complete a Ph.D.

The Master's thesis is a formal manuscript of a research project carried out mainly by the student, in collaboration with their Master's thesis Chair. Most often the Master’s thesis Chair is the student's research advisor, but it can be another tenure-track faculty member of the Department of Psychology with approval from the Clinical Faculty. The Master's thesis must be written in APA style and be of quality comparable to manuscripts published in peer-reviewed psychology journals.

The Master's thesis committee must include (i) the Master's thesis Chair, (ii) at least one Core clinical faculty member (this could be the same person as the Master's thesis chair), and (iii) either (a) another Core clinical faculty member, (b) a non-Core faculty member in the Department of Psychology (e.g., Rehab faculty member), or (c) another professional with expertise relevant to the content or methodology of the project (e.g., a non-psychology Illinois Tech faculty member, a psychologist from outside Illinois Tech who has been involved in the project). The committee can be expanded to include other members, if it is deemed desirable. Members of the committee should be selected, to the extent possible, based on their expertise on the topic of the thesis. Any deviations from the typical committee composition must receive prior approval from the DCT.

The completion of the thesis requires the following steps:

1. The student writes a thesis proposal that is approved by the Master’s thesis Chair. The proposal should provide the background and rationale for the study and details of the methodology, including the data analytic plan. Please note that it is standard procedure for a Master’s thesis proposal document to require at least several drafts based on the Chair’s feedback.

2. After the Master’s thesis Chair approves the proposal, the student submits it to the committee members to review at least two weeks prior to the proposal meeting. This document should be considered final (although a student is still likely to get revisions from the committee), not a draft in progress. There are no university forms required to schedule the proposal meeting.
3. Carry out the proposal meeting, which is scheduled for one hour. During the proposal, students will be evaluated on specific competencies. Please see the appendix for a copy of the Master’s Thesis and Dissertation Proposal Competency Form. After the proposal is approved by the committee, the student must apply for IRB approval to conduct the study.

4. The study is conducted and the thesis written under the supervision of the Master’s thesis Chair. Please note that it is standard procedure for Master’s thesis defense documents to require at least several drafts based on the Chair’s feedback.

5. Details for the Master’s thesis defense are as follows:
   a. A student must be registered (for at least one credit—any course) in the semester in which the Master’s thesis defense occurs.
   b. After the Master’s thesis Chair approves the Master’s thesis, it is distributed to the committee at least two weeks prior to the defense. Similar to the proposal document, this document should be considered final (although there likely will be revisions from the committee), not a draft in progress.
   c. The committee and the defense date are established by submitting form G300 to the Graduate College. This form should be submitted at the beginning of the semester of the defense (the date can be changed later if necessary), but no later than 2 weeks before the defense date. Committee signatures for form G300 are not required; only the Department Chair signature is required. After the Grad College receives the form G300, a form G309 is sent to the Committee Chair from the Grad College. This G309 form is used to record the outcome of the oral defense itself (i.e., pass or fail). For form G309, Committee signatures are required, as well as the signature of the Department Chair. This form G309 must be submitted to the Grad College by the Committee Chair (i.e., this form is not permitted to ever be in the student’s possession), with all required signatures no later than 72 hours after the oral defense.
   d. When the date for the defense is set, the student should post the following information on the Clinical Program listserv: student’s name, thesis title, committee members and chair, date, time, and place. Master’s thesis defenses are open to all students and faculty, and students are encouraged to attend defenses as a learning experience and to support their colleagues.
   e. Carry out the defense meeting, which is scheduled for 1.5 hours. As with the proposal, students will be evaluated on competencies during the defense. Please see the appendix for the Master’s Thesis and Dissertation Defense Competency Form.

6. After the student passes the oral defense, form G501 needs to be completed and submitted when the written Master’s thesis manuscript is in its final form.
and approved by all committee members. This form may or may not be signed at the same time as the defense. That is, even in cases where the student passes the oral defense, it is typically the case that the committee requires revisions to the written document, and the form G501 is NOT signed until the revisions to the written document have been approved by the Chair and the committee members.

a. In some cases, the written revisions are minor enough that the committee members agree to sign the G501 at the defense with the understanding that the Chair will review and approve the revisions after the student completes them. The Department Chair signs this form after it is signed by the Committee Chair and Committee members. The form is then given to the student to take to the final meeting with the Thesis Examiner.

b. If the revisions are more extensive, before committee members sign the form G501, they may want to review the revisions after the Committee Chair has approved the changes. If such revisions are required, the list of revisions first must be submitted to the committee for approval. Once approval of this list of revisions is obtained from all committee members, then the student can begin revisions. When sending out final, completed revisions of your thesis based on the agreed upon list, please include the following:

1. A comprehensive list of revision requests (approved by the thesis Chair) and your response to each revision request, much like how you would respond to a journal reviewer’s comments. In the list of revisions and your response, please refer the reader to where respective changes in the thesis document can be found.

2. A version of your thesis document showing revisions (e.g., track changes, highlighting), and

3. A clean version of your thesis document (i.e., no edits, comments, track changes, etc.).

7. The thesis needs to meet the usual University requirements with the Thesis Examiner, including conforming to Illinois Tech style guidelines. The thesis examiner may have other meetings to inform students about this process. Also, the thesis examiner gets very busy near the end of every semester. The process will go much smoother if you contact them early on to set up your process.


The student needs to submit an application to graduate with the Master’s early in the appropriate semester. The Master’s thesis must be completed prior to: (1) the comprehensive exam; and (2) enrolling for dissertation credits. According to Illinois
Tech, to obtain a M.S. in Psychology, students must complete the requirements for a Master’s degree. This includes a total of 33 credits, which includes (a) PSYC 501-504 (which must be passed with a GPA of at least 3.0 and no more than one grade of C); and (b) the following courses: Ethics and Professional Issues I (508), Graduate Statistics I and II (545, 546), Psychopathology (526), Assessment II (512), and six credits of Master’s Thesis (PSYC 591). Students who completed a relevant Master’s degree and an approved Master’s thesis before matriculating in the Clinical Program do not complete a Master’s degree while at IIT, but must complete the other above requirements before moving onto other degree requirements.

Qualifying Examination

The university requires that all students who transition from a non-terminal Master’s student to a Ph.D. student pass a “Ph.D. Qualifying Exam.” This is not the same as the comprehensive exam or a thesis or dissertation defense. For the clinical program, this Ph.D. qualifying exam consists of completing PSYC 501-504 (which must be passed with a GPA of at least 3.0 and no more than one grade of C). If you meet this requirement, then you have passed this exam. After a student meets this requirement, they are responsible for notifying their clinical advisor to submit form G303, which requires your advisor’s signature and the signature of the Department Chair. This form can be found in the Department of Psychology office. A good time for your advisor to submit this form is after you have successfully completed the aforementioned coursework but before you engage in the comprehensive exam process.

Forgetting to submit this form may cause delays in getting subsequent forms approved and delivered by the Graduate School (e.g., comprehensive exam form, dissertation defense form). Please note that this same PhD qualifying exam is required for other Psychology PhD programs (i.e., Rehab and I/O), so it is not unique to the Clinical Psychology Program.

Comprehensive Examination

The comprehensive exam is taken after completion of the Master’s thesis. Typically, this is during the 4th year. It is unlikely to be before the spring semester of the third year because a student customarily would not have accumulated enough clinical experience to complete the exam. The comprehensive exam must be passed prior to the dissertation proposal meeting.

Unless there is a pressing reason, it should be scheduled during the fall or spring semesters. Students must be enrolled at Illinois Tech for at least one credit during the semester that they take the comprehensive examination.

The purposes of the exam are to: (1) demonstrate competence in basic areas of clinical psychology sufficient to be passed to doctoral candidacy, (2) exhibit competence in integrating research and practice, and (3) satisfy Department and University requirements for a Ph.D. comprehensive exam. In addition to being evaluative, the
comprehensive exam process should be an opportunity for learning and to demonstrate excellence.

The comprehensive exam consists of an oral presentation of a clinical case focusing on: (1) psychopathology, (2) assessment, (3) integration and critique of relevant research literature, (4) intervention procedures, (5) integration of research and case conceptualization, and (6) ethical and cultural issues. In the comprehensive exam the student demonstrates competency in applying their knowledge and skills to understanding a clinical case through integrating case material with their clinical skills and a critical understanding of the relevant theoretical/research literature. This competency includes demonstrating independent thinking and an expertise in the area defined by the chosen topic. The full scope of expected competencies is provided in the comprehensive exam evaluation form in the appendix. Students should review this evaluation form to determine the competencies that they are expected to demonstrate on the exam. However, as an overview, competency during the comprehensive exam is demonstrated in the following ways:

1. The clinical case is presented in an organized and sufficiently detailed manner.

2. The student presents their own formulation/conceptualization of important aspects of the case. This will typically include at least some of the following: diagnosis, assessment, etiology, treatment, outcome assessment, treatment effectiveness and cultural issues.

3. The formulation is justified based on research, theory, and clinical data (including their own observations and evaluation of them).

4. Appropriate conclusions are drawn from the research/theoretical literature. This includes:
   a. An awareness of the literature relevant to the formulation.
   b. A critical understanding of the literature, i.e., an understanding of the consistencies/inconsistencies and of the methodological issues necessary to evaluate its validity and generalizability.
   c. An integrative and synthetic understanding of the literature, i.e., an understanding of the current state of knowledge in the relevant areas.
   d. An understanding of the cultural issues in the literature relevant to the case.
   e. An understanding of the ethical issues relevant to the case.
   f. Appropriate application of conclusions from literature to understanding the case and supporting the formulation.

5. The student presents and interacts in a professional manner.

6. Through engaging in a dialogue with faculty committee members, the student demonstrates independent thinking, consideration of alternatives, evaluation
of evidence, appropriate qualification of conclusions, and being able to understand and appropriately balance the “forest and the trees.”

The presentation is made to a faculty committee of four or more, composed of: (a) a minimum of 3 psychology faculty members, at least two of whom are Core clinical faculty members, including the clinical advisor, who advises the student on developing the presentation (and serves as the comprehensive exam Chair); and (b) one Illinois Tech faculty member from outside the Department of Psychology. The non-departmental faculty member should be contacted after formalizing a date with the departmental faculty members. Your advisor should have a list of non-departmental faculty who often participate in comps committees. Any deviations from the typical committee composition must receive prior approval from the DCT.

The presentation is open to all clinical students and faculty. The length of the presentation is two hours, including questions, discussion, and time for the committee to confer. Following the presentation, the committee meets to evaluate the exam and formulate feedback. Students may be given a “pass with distinction” (obtained by a small minority of students), “pass,” or “fail.”

If a student does not pass the comprehensive examination, they have a second opportunity to take the exam. The exact components of the re-presentation will be decided by the committee and may include the entire presentation or only parts that were deficient. The requirements should be put in writing and shared among the committee and the student so that it is clear what needs to be done. That document should go in the student’s file so that it is always available. It is understood that in working with a client, the student will have consulted with their supervisor(s) and perhaps other professionals and students. However, the comprehensive exam must represent the work of the individual student. Comps presentations may be based on cases presented at practicum only if: (a) the case presentation was based solely on the student’s work without input from the supervisor; and (b) the students did not receive corrective feedback after the case presentation. In general, the student may consult with faculty about how to go about the task, but not in doing the task (except with the exam Chair regarding the outline; see below).

Comps presentations may not be practiced in lab.

Comprehensive exams are open to all clinical students and faculty, and students are strongly encouraged to attend comprehensive exams as a learning experience and to support their colleagues. Attending multiple exams is the best way to get a sense of what your comps presentation will need to include in terms of process and content.

The steps for the comprehensive exam are listed below:

1. Meeting with the comprehensive exam Chair (i.e., the student's clinical advisor) to discuss the comps process.
2. Selection by the student of a case.

3. Selection of an examination committee.

4. Generation of a detailed outline of the presentation in consultation with the comprehensive exam Chair. All content areas and a list of references must be included.

5. At least 2 weeks prior to the examination, the following two steps must be carried out following approval by the comprehensive exam Chair:
   a. The Chair will email the outline and a fillable pdf “comprehensive exam approval form” to the other committee members for comments, suggestions, revisions, and approval (see Appendix). Committee members (within the Department, not the non-departmental member) will then complete the form and email it back to the student and the comprehensive exam Chair. Revisions to the outline may be needed at this point, and the exam Chair again can be consulted by the student during this process.
   b. Comprehensive exams need to be scheduled for two hours. The student will submit a form G301A to the Graduate Office of Academic Affairs for processing within 2 weeks of the comprehensive exam date. Committee signatures for form G301A are not required; only the Department Chair signature is required. After the Grad College receives the form G301A, a form G309 is sent to the Committee Chair from the Grad College. See below for more information on the G309.
   c. When the date for the comps is set, the student should post the following information on the Clinical Program listserv: student’s name, comps title, committee members and chair, date, time, and place.

6. The student creates a complete presentation based on the outline that was approved by the committee. This is an exam, so the Chair cannot provide feedback on the content or the slides.

7. The committee meets for the presentation. After the student presents for approximately one hour and 45 minutes, the committee evaluates the exam. The G309 form is used to record the outcome of the defense itself (i.e., pass or fail). For form G309, Committee signatures are required, as well as the signature of the Department Chair. This form G309 must be submitted to the Grad College by the Committee Chair (i.e., this form is not permitted to ever be in the student’s possession) with all required signatures no later than 72 hours after the oral defense.

8. Following the comprehensive exam, the comprehensive exam Chair should place the final outline, all the signed outline approval forms, and a copy of the G309 form indicating the exam outcome in the student’s file.
Dissertation

The dissertation is a data-based (quantitative or qualitative) research project that is designed, executed, analyzed, and written by the student. The scope and quality of dissertation research should have the potential to make a contribution to the field and should meet the standards of peer-reviewed psychology journals. The dissertation Chair is usually the student’s research advisor but can be another psychology faculty member when this is more appropriate.

The student, in conjunction with their Chair, selects at least three other faculty members for the dissertation committee. The committee must include at least two Core Clinical Faculty members and an Illinois Tech faculty member from outside the Department of Psychology.

However, the non-Departmental faculty member does not usually get involved in the dissertation process until the oral defense. The third psychology faculty member is also usually from the clinical program, but may be from outside the program if this is more appropriate based on the content of the dissertation. The committee can be expanded to include other members, if it is deemed appropriate. The dissertation committee should be chosen based upon the expertise of the faculty of the clinical program; thus, those with research interests closest to the dissertation subject should be placed on the committee. Any deviations from the typical committee composition must receive prior approval from the DCT.

Dissertation research must conform to APA ethical guidelines for protection of human participants and must be approved by the Illinois Tech Institutional Review Board and the dissertation committee prior to collecting data. Please see the Department of Psychology Dissertation Policy in the appendix at the end of this document.

Students may take PSYC 691 credits as soon as their Master's thesis 501 form has been approved and after they have completed the "Qualifying Exam" (which is not the same as the Comprehensive Exam, see above). This will allow students to take PSYC 691 credits before comprehensive exams in order to retain full-time student status. Please note that you may NOT propose or defend your dissertation before completing comprehensive exams.

The following rules also are important to consider when planning how and when to enroll in your dissertation credits:

1. You cannot defend the dissertation before completing all other substantive degree coursework (excluding PSYC599 internship credits), and completing or being enrolled in all of your PSYC691 dissertation research credits. The university does not allow students to defend the dissertation with remaining dissertation credits to take, with the idea that you would pay off those outstanding dissertation credits later like an informal payment plan.
2. Even if you have 1 outstanding credit—outstanding defined as "currently not completed or in which you're not enrolled in the current semester"—the Graduate Academic Affairs office will not release the oral defense form and you cannot defend your dissertation.

3. For students who defend before completing internship, there is a time limit (1 year-3 continuous semesters including summer) to take PSYC599 internship credits after defending your dissertation. If you defend your dissertation before applying for internship, but unfortunately do not match for internship, you and your advisor can petition Graduate Academic Affairs for an exception to the aforementioned 1-year time limit.

4. There are several advantages to defending a dissertation before internship begins, so if you plan to exercise that plan, you will need to have completed or enrolled in all dissertation credits by the semester you defend.

There is a special course through Graduate Academic Affairs (GAA) that might come in handy if you defend prior to internship. It's called the GCS 600 Graduate Continuation of Studies. This is NOT the same as the PSYC 600 we use in the Department of Psychology. This special 1-credit GAA course makes your status full-time and is only $150 (at the time of this writing, but this $150 may increase in the future). This GCS 600 course can only be used one time during your degree program. To use it, your oral dissertation defense must have been passed before the 1st day of the semester in which you enroll in GCS 600. A G701 Graduate Student Petition is required from the student to request approval of the permit to register. This course is designed to allow students more time in situations in which they have defended the oral part of the dissertation but need an extra semester to complete the written portion of the project. For planning purposes, this should be taken immediately after the semester in which you have defended. That is, if you are trying to allot credits (especially ones that permit full-time status) strategically for financial aid and plan to defend prior to internship, a good and common sequence for spacing out credits to stay full-time and ensure you are enrolled in anything in the semester you graduate might look like:
   - Defend dissertation in the spring or summer prior to internship
   - Take 1 credit of GSC600 for $150 in the fall
   - Take 1 credit of PSYC599 in the spring
   - Take 1 credit of PSYC599 in the summer and graduate

The dissertation proceeds in the following steps:

1. Dissertation Proposal
   a. The initial proposal is developed with the dissertation Chair and goes through multiple drafts. The proposal is the Introduction and Methods section, including the plan for data analysis of the dissertation. Therefore, the proposal must include compelling rationale for the study (including evaluation of the existing literature) and a complete description of the methods, procedures and data analytic plan that will
be used. This includes evidence that the study is feasible, i.e., that the recruitment, sample size, data collection, and data analyses can be accomplished as proposed. For studies using archival data, this usually includes examination of a sample of the dataset to indicate that the needed data are, in fact, in the dataset.

b. When approved by the dissertation Chair, the proposal is submitted to the other committee members for a proposal meeting (there is no university form for this committee or meeting). The proposal needs to be submitted to the committee members no less than 2 weeks prior to the proposal meeting. The member from outside the Department need not be involved at this stage (and, typically, is not at the proposal meeting).

c. Carry out the proposal meeting, which is scheduled for two hours. The function of this proposal meeting is to formally agree upon a final proposal. The committee may approve the proposal, require modifications, or request another meeting before final approval. A proposal may be approved with minor revisions, which means small changes that are specific and clear prior to their being made. Examples could include increasing the sample size from 100 to 150, adding 2 specific citations, and changing one measure to another identified measure. Proposals that require substantial revisions will not be approved. Substantial changes include (but are not necessarily limited to) situations in which the acceptability of the changes cannot be known until they are seen. Examples could include providing adequate rationales for hypotheses; additions or revisions to the literature review that affect conclusions about the literature or the hypotheses and their rationales; specifying methodology that is not already determined and agreed upon; and generally poor writing.

d. If substantial revisions are required, a list of these revisions must be submitted to the committee for their approval. In cases where the committee wants to review the revisions before approving the proposal, the revisions must be approved by the Committee Chair before submitting them to the rest of the Committee.

e. The outcome of the initial committee proposal meeting and the final approval must be documented on the Master’s Thesis and Dissertation Proposal Competency Form (see appendix). This form also evaluates the student on various competencies during the proposal process. The dissertation proposal is not approved until this form is signed by each member of the committee, and each faculty member selects either the outcome “Approved” or “Approved, pending revisions.”

f. The proposal represents an agreement between the student and the committee on what is required. If the student completes the project consistent with the approved proposal, the project will be accepted as meeting the dissertation requirement. This protects the student in that the committee cannot later change what project is considered acceptable. However, it also means that the student cannot change the
project without prior approval of the committee. Students should set a very low threshold for requesting approval for changes that are deemed necessary. It is much easier to email your committee members asking for a change that might seem minor than it is to find out at your defense that the committee believes that you did not do the project that was approved.

g. To apply for internship in the fall of a given year, a student must meet the following schedule: 1) The proposal meeting must occur at the latest by September 15th; 2) Post-meeting revisions must be submitted to the committee by September 30th. Note that these will need approval by the dissertation Chair before they are distributed; 3) Committee members will judge the revised proposal as approved or not by October 15th. If the proposal is not approved, the student will not be considered ready to apply for internship.

h. The best way to have a successful proposal process is to plan well ahead and also to propose before August (i.e., August and September are exceptionally busy for faculty). This allows for enough time to complete revisions prior to approval, should they be required by your committee. You should discuss your timeline with your dissertation Chair and possibly with your other dissertation committee members. A good rule of thumb is to expect it to take 4-6 months after passing comps to develop your dissertation proposal. Finally, remember that your proposal needs to be distributed to your committee at least 2 weeks before the meeting. Dissertation proposals should be distributed to committees only after they have been approved by the dissertation Chair. The versions of these documents distributed should be considered final (although they still might get revisions from the committee), not a draft in progress.

2. Dissertation Defense and Completion
   a. The data are collected and analyzed and the dissertation written under the supervision of the dissertation Chair. Data may not be collected until after the proposal is approved by the dissertation committee and the project is approved by the Illinois Tech Institutional Review Board.
   b. Before being distributed to committee members, the completed dissertation needs to have been read, edited and approved by the dissertation Chair; dissertations typically go through a number of revisions prior to being ready to distribute. The Introduction and Methods sections from the proposal will require some revisions, at minimum updating any literature and changing future tense to past tense.
   c. Details for the defense are as follows:
      i. A student must be registered (for any type of at least one credit) the semester in which the dissertation defense occurs.
      ii. The completed dissertation must be distributed to all members of the committee at least 2 weeks prior to the defense. This
includes the non-departmental committee member. This version of the dissertation should be what the student proposes as the final version, with the understanding that in many cases the committee will require some additional revisions.

iii. The committee and the defense date are established by submitting form G301B to the Graduate Office of Academic Affairs. This form should be submitted at the beginning of the semester of the defense (the date can be changed later if necessary), but not later than 2 weeks before the defense date. Committee signatures for form G301B are not required; only the Department Chair signature is required. After the Grad College receives the form G301B, a form G309 is sent to the Committee Chair from the Grad College. This form G309 form is used to record the outcome of the defense itself (i.e., pass or fail).

iv. When the date for the defense is set, the student should post the following information on the Clinical Program listserv: student’s name, dissertation title, committee members and chair, date, time, and place. Dissertation defenses are open to all students and faculty, and students are encouraged to attend defenses as a learning experience and to support their colleagues.

v. Carry out the defense meeting, which is scheduled for two hours. Please see the appendix for the Master’s Thesis and Dissertation Defense Competency Form. This form is used to evaluate the student on various competencies during the defense process.

vi. For form G309, which signifies the oral defense was passed, Committee signatures are required, as well as the signature of the Department Chair. This form G309 must be submitted to the Grad College by the Committee Chair (i.e., this form is not permitted to ever be in the student’s possession) with all required signatures no later than 72 hours after the oral defense.

After the dissertation defense, form G501 needs to be completed and submitted when the written dissertation manuscript is in its final form. This form may or may not be signed at the same time as the defense. That is, even in cases where the student passes the oral defense, it is typically the case that the committee requires revisions to the written document, and the form G501 is NOT signed until the revisions to the written document have been approved by the Chair and the committee members.

i. In some cases, the written revisions are minor enough that the committee members agree to sign the G501 at the defense with the understanding that the Chair will review and approve the revisions after the student completes them. The Department Chair signs this form after it is signed by the Committee Chair and Committee members. The form is then given to the student
to take to the final meeting with the Thesis Examiner.

ii. If the revisions are more extensive, before committee members sign the form G501, they may want to review the revisions after the Committee Chair has approved the changes. If such revisions are required, the list of revisions first must be submitted to the committee for approval. Once approval of this list of revisions is obtained from all committee members, then the student can begin revisions. When sending out final, completed revisions of your thesis based on the agreed upon list, please include the following:

1. A comprehensive list of revision requests (approved by the dissertation Chair) and your response to each revision request, much like how you would respond to a journal reviewer’s comments. In the list of revisions and your response, please refer the reader to where respective changes in the dissertation document can be found.

2. A version of your dissertation document showing revisions (e.g., track changes, highlighting), and

3. A clean version of your dissertation document (i.e., no edits, comments, track changes, etc.).

e. The dissertation needs to meet the usual University requirements with the Thesis Examiner. The dissertation must conform to Illinois Tech style guidelines. The thesis examiner may have other meetings to inform students about this process. Also, the thesis examiner gets very busy near the end of every semester. The process will go much smoother if you contact them early on to set up your process. The Thesis Manual and other information about the process of approval by the thesis examiner can be found at: https://web.iit.edu/sites/web/files/departments/academic-affairs/graduate-academic-affairs/IIT%20Thesis%20Manual.pdf.

Internship

Each student must complete a one-year predoctoral internship, as early as the 5th year (for those enrolling in the program without prior transfer credits and experience), after completing all program requirements other than the dissertation. However, an internship application is much stronger if the dissertation is completed prior to starting internship. As mentioned above, to apply for internship in the fall of a given year, a student must meet the following schedule: 1) The proposal meeting must occur at the latest by September 15th; 2) Post-meeting revisions must be submitted to the committee by September 30th. Note that these will need approval by the dissertation Chair before they are distributed; 3) Committee members will judge the revised proposal as approved or not by October 15th. If the proposal is not approved, the student will be considered not ready to apply for internship.
Internships applied to must be APA-accredited and offer full funding. There are rare exceptions to this rule, but a student cannot accept an unaccredited internship without receiving prior permission from the Clinical Program. This policy is designed to ensure that all internships meet Clinical Program standards.

There will be meetings with the DCT late in the spring semester and early in the fall semester to review procedures and strategies for internship applications and interviews. The internship application requires written endorsement from the Clinical Program in the form of approval from the DCT. To receive this approval, the student must be in good standing in the program, have completed all Department and Clinical Program requirements (other than dissertation), have passed the comprehensive examination, have an approved dissertation proposal and be deemed by the Clinical Faculty as ready for internship. All Clinical Faculty members are available to discuss site choices and application materials. Application cover letters and essays must be reviewed by at least the student’s clinical advisor. Problems with these documents are one of the main sources of not matching with an internship.

Students who wish to pursue internship training at a Veteran’s Affairs (VA) facility may be required to complete an extra vetting process called *Trainee Qualifications and Credentials Verification Letter* (TQCVL). The TQCVL is a letter that DCTs are required to sign and submit to the VA on behalf of each trainee that will be working at the VA. The letter contains personal and medical information (e.g., vaccination status) to which the DCT is not customarily privy. In the appendix, please find (1) the program’s policy and a set of guidelines for handling this VA requirement and (2) the form with sensitive questions that you will be required to complete. If you do not wish to complete the TQCVL, then you should not apply for internship at a VA facility.

**Program Milestone Deadlines**

Please see the appendix for a graphical depiction of the program timeline expectations. The graph shows the normative, expected timeline and specific semester deadline for completing program milestones. The timeline also includes warning periods, which are not inherently negative or problematic. They do not go into a student's record or automatically trigger any sort of remediation plan or probation status. Going into a warning period is simply an alert to the student that a milestone deadline is approaching at the end of that period. If the milestone in question is not completed by the end of the warning period, the student's status in the program will be discussed by clinical faculty, with possible consequences including, but not limited to, recommendations for dismissal from the program.

Unanticipated problems sometimes arise in completing program requirements. At the discretion of the Clinical Faculty, students can be granted one of two exceptions for altering the training timeline to address such unanticipated problems:

1. *Extensions*: the milestone deadlines can be changed to a later date *without* pausing the program's training timeline.
2. **Pauses**: the training timeline can be put on hold temporarily because of qualifying circumstances. This requires a Leave of Absence from the program (see above for more information on program Leaves of Absence and qualifying circumstances).

Extensions and pauses can be requested using the Milestone Deadline Extension Request Form (MDERF). No MDERF is needed to avoid going into a Warning Period. MDERFs should only be used for extending deadlines that occur at the end of the Warning Period. Please see the appendix for a copy of the MDERF.

Please note that the program policy defaults to a strong expectation that milestones are met according to the training timeline illustrated in the appendix; exceptions to the timeline are rare, and the onus is on the student to articulate a strong case for the exception when making the request to the Clinical Faculty. When making the case for the exception, the student should submit a MDERF and select one the two exception choices (i.e., *extension* or *pause*) for the request.

Please note that the University has a separate expectation from the program for completing the doctoral degree. The University time limit for completion of the Ph.D. degree is six years after approval of the Ph.D. G401 form. In the unlikely event that the University time limit occurs before the program’s milestone deadlines (e.g., the program has granted a student generous extensions for qualifying circumstances), with appropriate justification, the student may petition to have this period extended. An extension requires approval of the Department of Psychology and the Dean of Graduate Studies, and, if the completion time is very long, it may involve additional compensating academic requirements, such as retaking courses.

**Graduation and Commencement**

Receipt of the Ph.D. is contingent upon successful completion of all Program, Department, and University requirements, including Internship. It is common that internships end a couple of weeks after the University summer graduation deadline. Students in this situation (having already completed their dissertation) are allowed to graduate in that August based on a letter from their internship training director that they are expected to successfully complete the internship. At the discretion of the Clinical Faculty, students may march in the May University Commencement if they are close to completing all requirements.

**Student Evaluation and Annual Review**

Student evaluations have several purposes: (1) to identify the performance strengths and deficiencies of the student, (2) to provide specific feedback to students about positive and negative aspects of their performance in the graduate program, (3) to recommend remedial work for individual students when appropriate, (4) to provide written documentation of students’ performance, and (5) to systematically monitor students’ progress through the program to ensure that they meet minimum levels of
achievement in all of the required competencies. Students receive frequent feedback from course instructors and their advisor.

Each clinical student will be reviewed formally two times during the academic year, once at the end of the fall semester and again at the end of spring semester. The evaluations are carried out by Clinical Program faculty as a group (including Rehabilitation Faculty and other research advisors where appropriate). The fall evaluation is a verbal check-in and is less comprehensive than the spring evaluation. The spring evaluation is called the annual review and includes a written annual review form. Students will meet with their advisor to receive feedback from the annual review. A copy of the annual review is placed in the student's file. Please see the appendix for a copy of the Annual Review Form. For accreditation purposes, certain items on the Annual Review Form measure specific competencies for which students must demonstrate ratings that reflect a minimum levels of achievement (MLA; for more details on what MLA means, please see the section below called Minimum Levels of Achievement). They therefore must not receive a rating of 2 or below—these items are marked with asterisks. Failure to achieve a 3 or higher on these MLA items will automatically trigger requirements for extra supervision or a formal remediation plan.

Minimum Level of Achievement

Accreditation by the American Psychological Association requires our program to establish what is called a minimum level of achievement (MLA) for competencies and knowledge attainment. Concepts of MLA may apply to course grades (e.g., receive a grade of B or higher), specific course assignments, practice competencies, and program milestones. These MLAs will be made explicit in the syllabus, this handbook, and/or respective competency evaluation forms. For example, “mini-comps” during the Therapy course sequence requires an MLA of a grade of B or better. A lower grade would necessitate re-completing “mini-comps” and passing the assignment with a B or better. Failure to do so would result in the student being placed on probation with remediation or recommended for dismissal from the program.

Grades and Status in the Program

For coursework, the MLA is a grade of B. That means any grade of C or below in any course is considered “not passing” and will therefore automatically trigger a need for the student to retake and pass the course with a grade of B or better. Students will be permitted only one required re-take of each course before being recommended for dismissal from the program. This is the case for all courses completed as a student enrolled in the Clinical Program. Although students are required to repeat courses in which they do not achieve a B or better, repeated poor academic performance (e.g., multiple C grades within or across semesters requiring course re-takes) also may be the basis for being put on probation with remediation or recommended for dismissal from the program.
Please note that this program policy for grades is stricter than what is required by the university. Per the university, from the Illinois Tech Student Handbook. “A student whose cumulative GPA falls below 3.0 (out of 4.0) is no longer in good standing and must petition the Graduate College, Office of Academic Affairs for permission for provisional enrollment by submitting form G702. Students for whom provisional enrollment is granted must not earn a semester GPA less than 3.0 while on Academic Probation. Probationary students who receive “C” or “E” grades will be required to repeat courses, subject to the limits specified within this bulletin, to improve the cumulative GPA. Dismissal will occur when a student fails to make the requisite academic progress during the probationary period.” The Department of Psychology Student Dismissal Policy can be found in the appendix.

**Probation Status**

A student is placed on Program Academic Probation when their performance in the Clinical Program is judged to be unsatisfactory (i.e., not meeting minimum levels of competence expected in one or more areas for a student at a particular level of training). It signifies that the Clinical Faculty has serious concerns about the student’s ability to satisfactorily complete the training program by achieving competency in each of the areas specified by the Committee on Accreditation and/or to function satisfactorily as a clinical psychologist. The ideal outcome of probation is for the student to improve their performance and to successfully complete the program.

If the clinical faculty puts a student on probation, the student will receive a letter from the DCT indicating: (1) the reasons for the probationary status, (2) the required remedial action, (3) a timetable for remedial action and re-evaluation, and (4) the consequence of failure to meet the remediation plan. Students on probation are generally reviewed by the faculty each semester, and at the end of the probationary period, the faculty determine if the student should be removed from probation, maintained on probation, or recommended for dismissal from the program. A student has the right to appeal dismissal decisions at the Program, Department, and University levels.

Two conditions that may trigger probation are the following (non-exhaustive):

1. Grades fail to meet the MLA of a grade B or higher

2. Behavior in research, clinical practice, or other professional activities that is inappropriate, unprofessional, or unethical.

**Procedures for Grievances by Students**

Resolution of disputes relating to grades, discrimination, sexual harassment, mistreatment by staff, etc. usually will begin by discussions among the parties involved. Students also may want to discuss the issue with their advisor, the DCT, or other faculty, including the Chair of the Department of Psychology. If this fails to resolve the situation satisfactorily, a complaint can be filed with the Chair of the Department of
Psychology, who will appoint a committee to assess the situation. If this does not result in a resolution satisfactory to the student, the student can file a complaint/grievance with the University. The exact procedures for this depend on the nature of the complaint and are specified in the University Student Handbook (web.iit.edu/student-affairs/handbook).

**Records and Record Retention**

The program maintains official files on each current and former student in locked file cabinets in the Department of Psychology offices. These records are confidential and are not part of the student’s official University transcript. They are, however, subject to audit by authorized faculty, staff, and representatives of accrediting bodies (e.g., American Psychological Association). Records will be maintained for at least 10 years (unless incidents occur outside of our control (e.g., fire) to remain consistent with IIT’s Record and Email Retention Policy (https://webmaster.iit.edu/files/general-counsel/policies-and-procedures/procedure_q4_record_retention.pdf).

**Student Academic Expectations**

**Academic Integrity**
The faculty and administration at Illinois Tech support an environment free from cheating of any kind and plagiarism. Exams, papers, and other assignments are meant to demonstrate your abilities and understanding of the course material. Each student is responsible for being aware of what constitutes cheating and plagiarism and for avoiding both. The complete text of the Illinois Text code of academic honesty can be found in the student handbook: https://www.iit.edu/student-affairs/student-handbook/fine-print/code-academic-honesty.

A few common examples of cheating and plagiarism that will not be tolerated:

- Using notes or other external materials on a quiz or exam, unless explicitly allowed by the instructor.
- Looking at another student’s test paper during a quiz or exam
- Having a phone or other electronic device out during a quiz or exam, regardless of the reason.
- Presenting another person’s written work as your own
- Using another person’s words to describe their work without quoting or providing a citation/reference
- Presenting another person’s ideas without providing a citation/reference
- Self-plagiarism, including submitting work that you’ve previously completed for another course at Illinois Tech or elsewhere.
- Copying and pasting information from the Internet (e.g., Wikipedia) for use in papers or assignments.

If you have a question about what constitutes academic dishonesty, please do not hesitate to ask your advisor or instructor.
The consequences of cheating and/or plagiarism at minimum are as follows:

- Receiving a zero (0) on the assignment in question
- Reporting of the incident to appropriate officials, such as the DCT, the Chair of the Department of Psychology, the Chair of the Department of Psychology’s Academic Honesty Committee, and the Designated Dean for Academic Discipline. Additional reporting may be required to the Graduate School, Dean of the College, and leadership of Student Affairs. Please note, if there is a suspected violation of academic integrity, and upon investigation, this violation is confirmed, or if the violation is admitted, the instructor **MUST** report the violation. This ensures tracking of multiple occurrences for the same student. Students can appeal reports to the Chair of the Academic Honesty Committee for the Department of Psychology.

At the instructor’s discretion, all written assignments may be subject to review by the University’s SafeAssign software, which identifies content that appears in other sources. If this software identifies that you have copied content from another source, without quoting and properly citing that content (i.e., plagiarism), the case will be referred to the Department of Psychology’s Academic Honesty Committee and other parties for investigation.

Evidence of plagiarism, cheating, or other forms of dishonesty will be reviewed by the Clinical faculty and may result in probation with remediation, or in serious cases, recommendations for dismissal from the program. As noted above, a student has the right to appeal dismissal decisions at the Program, Department, and University levels.

**Disabilities**

We support students at all levels of ability. If you have a documented disability, such as a learning disability, hearing loss, chronic pain, or mental health condition, we encourage you to register with the Center for Disability Resources (CDR) office. Information regarding the CDR office can be found at the following website: [https://iit.edu/cdr](https://iit.edu/cdr).

The CDR will provide you with documentation outlining the accommodations to which you are entitled. You must provide a copy of this documentation to the instructor of each course at the beginning of the semester. Be aware that faculty are not permitted to make accommodations without the proper documentation from the CDR office. It is up to you to determine whether or not you want to take advantage of the accommodations available to you.

**Readings**

You may be required to purchase several textbooks for each class. You also may be assigned journal articles to read. In general, you should expect to be doing a lot of reading. Readings are assigned to foster knowledge as well as to inspire class discussion. In fact, many of your classes may be discussion based; if you have not read for class you will not be able to participate.
Please note that you may be expected to read more material than may be discussed in class. Readings are carefully selected by professors to enhance your educational and professional development. Moreover, one goal of the program is to help you develop the ability to learn independently; by reading all assigned materials (and by taking responsibility for bringing up for discussion information that you found interesting or did not understand), you are learning this very important professional skill. Just because a reading is not discussed in class does not mean it is unimportant and therefore should be removed from course expectations. Rather, you should be aware that you will be held responsible for information from all readings, whether discussed in class or not, on quizzes, exams, essays, etc.

Class Attendance
Graduate school should be your highest priority. As such, we expect that, except in qualifying circumstances, you will attend all scheduled classes and will arrive on time. If you expect to be late or must miss a class, be sure to inform the instructor as soon as possible via telephone call or e-mail to the professor. More broadly, please treat attendance to class like you would attendance to a job; be on time, show up every day, and communicate clearly when you cannot. Consistently poor attendance within a class or across classes is evidence of unprofessional behavior and may be grounds for being placed on probation with remediation.

Class Participation
Unlike undergraduate courses, graduate courses often involve a great deal of discussion as well as in-class experiential exercises and role-plays. Some professors actually assign a grade for participation, which may be used in calculating your final grade. Thus, you must come to class prepared so that you may participate in class discussions and exercises.

We encourage students to express differing points of view regarding class material. However, these perspectives should be presented in a respectful and appropriate manner. You should also be aware that faculty reserve the right to end such discussions for any number of reasons (e.g., limited time; discussion is becoming too emotionally charged).

For some assignments/ in-class exercises/ or discussions, you may be asked to use yourself or your experiences as examples. In such situations, you should be careful to select an issue that you are willing to share with the professor or other class members. You may also choose an issue that someone close to you is dealing with if that is more comfortable. Your decision NOT to share overly personal information/ experiences is considered appropriate self-care and will in NO WAY affect your grade.

Writing
Like reading, writing is emphasized in this program and you will be expected to do a considerable amount of writing that will be evaluated. We believe that writing is a critical skill, for both research and practice. Excellent writing skills help you to present yourself in a favorable light with potential employers. Moreover, excellent writing skills are the
foundation of professional success. Good writing results from imperfect drafts being revised, not from an excellent first draft.

For most courses, a portion of your grade for written assignments will be based on the quality of your writing. To the extent possible, faculty will provide constructive feedback that can be used to improve your writing skills. However, you may have issues with your writing that we do not have the skill or the time to address. If this is the case, we will refer you to the Writing Center on campus (https://www.iit.edu/humanities/student-resources/writing-center). We realize that this feedback may be difficult to hear; it may be the first time that anyone has told you that you have something to improve in your writing. The faculty believe that becoming a better writer is a lifelong process that applies to students and faculty alike. In that spirit, please be aware that a referral to the Writing Center is not intended as criticism but rather as constructive feedback. We do expect you to follow through with this referral. It has been our experience that students who follow through with the referral to the writing center make substantive improvements in their writing, which are reflected in their grades.

Although grading criteria are determined by each instructor, in general, writing quality is often graded on the following dimensions:

- Grammar: Does the student use proper sentence structure and appropriate grammar?
- Clarity: Can I read a sentence/paragraph once and understand it? Is there excessive verbiage?
- Organization: Does your writing tell a story; does it follow a logical sequence?
- Succinctness: Have you made your point using as few words as possible?
- Formality: Do you write the way you talk to a professional colleague? (Or do you write the way you talk to your friends? Do not confuse informality and simplicity)
- Adherence to directions (including APA style)

**Oral Presentations**

In addition to writing effectively, you need to be able to be able to communicate in oral forms as well. During the course of your graduate training as well as your career, you may present research at conferences, give lectures to students or presentations to colleagues, and be required to summarize clinical cases, treatment options, etc. Again, we will provide you with constructive feedback that is designed to help you become a more effective presenter.

Although grading criteria are determined by each instructor, in general, criteria for grading oral presentations include the following dimensions:

- Adherence to time limits: This is important; you often have a limited amount of time to make your case
- Pacing: Was the pace even throughout? Did you talk slowly and clearly?
- Key info/Content: Did you impart the critical information? Did you cover the necessary material?
- Q&A: Could you answer reasonable questions? That is, did you have a
thorough grasp of the topic area?

- Professionalism: Did you remain calm and non-defensive during the presentation, particularly as questions were asked or constructive comments were made?

**Group Work**

The requirement to work effectively as a member of a group is a critical skill. Many employers ask referees to comment not only on a student’s ability to work independently but also on their ability to work cooperatively with others. During your time here, you may be required to work in pairs, small groups, large groups, and as a cohort. Your ability to work with others will be observed and evaluated.

When assigning group work, we fully expect all members of the group to expend an equal amount of effort. Faculty reserve the right to reduce the grade, relative to the overall group grade, of anyone found to be “loafing” on a group project. If you are concerned that a student with whom you are working is not doing their share, please speak with the course instructor AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. DO NOT WAIT until the assignment is complete, or nearly, complete, as it will not be possible for the professor to remEDIATE the problem at that point.

Your involvement and participation in class also reflects your ability to function effectively within a group. In general, we do not expect you to like every member of your cohort. However, we do expect you to make an effort to get along with everyone and to treat both faculty and other students with respect both in and outside of the classroom. Inappropriate behavior is defined at the discretion of the Clinical Faculty, other departmental or Illinois Tech faculty, and/or and code of conduct expressed in the university student handbook. This includes, but is not limited to, whispering, snide remarks, eye-rolling, or other disruptive behavior in class as well as spreading rumors, talking badly about other cohort members or faculty, and in person- or cyber-bullying. If you have an issue with a cohort or faculty member, we encourage you first to discuss it with them directly. If the issue is not resolved to your satisfaction, you should then consult with your advisor or the DCT.

**Student Professionalism Expectations**

In addition to developing your knowledge, the program also focuses on your professional development and growth. As you will be representing the Illinois Tech Clinical Psychology Ph.D. Program in your employment, practica, and beyond, students should always present themselves in a professional manner. Part of the duty of Clinical Faculty is to determine if trainees have the capacity to develop into competent, ethical, and professional psychologists.

Professionalism is critical for a human service career, and as such, we take this domain very seriously when endorsing trainees for internship applications and graduation. In particular, you should pay careful attention to the areas described below.
**Appearance**
You should dress professionally and appropriately at all times. When in doubt about how to dress, err on the side of being conservative; it is better to be over-dressed than to be under-dressed. You can always modify your choice of clothes at a later date. Specifically, while on practica, you should consult with your on-site supervisor and observe how others at the site dress and use that information as your guide for appropriate attire.

**Behavior**
We ask that you are respectful of both faculty and other students. Please make every effort to arrive to class on time; if you are unable to attend class for any reason, please be certain to inform the faculty member as soon as possible. During class, we ask that you put your cell phones on silent and put them away (i.e., do not interact with your phones for texting or any other purpose). We realize that you may want to bring tablets or laptops to class for the purpose of taking notes, but it is critical that you refrain from surfing the web, using any social networking site, answering e-mails, or engaging in any other non-course-related behavior since this will interfere with your attention and learning, and also may be distracting to faculty or other students. Note that some faculty do not allow laptops to be used in class at all, unless a student requests the instructor to do so.

You may wish to bring food to class because classes are often scheduled during normal meal times. You should check with each professor to determine their policy regarding eating in class. If the professor does not object, you should try to avoid eating overly noisy (e.g., crunchy) snacks and refrain from bringing food in crinkly bags. As some students may suffer from serious food-related allergies, it is best that you check with your fellow classmates before you bring food made with peanuts or tree nuts.

Another aspect of professional behavior involves learning how to advocate for yourself as well as how to handle conflict with colleagues and supervisors. There may be times when you believe that you or someone else in your cohort has been treated unfairly or you may vehemently disagree with a grade or evaluation you have received. In such instances, you should use the following guidelines in order to address the issue:

1. Begin by addressing the issue directly with the faculty member or student involved. Be respectful but state your concerns clearly and concisely. You should be prepared to listen to the other person’s point of view; they may have a legitimate reason for their behavior. You should also be prepared to compromise – do not expect that you will get exactly what you want.

2. If, however, the issue is not resolved to your satisfaction through direct discussion with the person involved, you may then address the issue with your advisor. In discussing the issue with the advisor, please be sure to describe the concern in detail and what you have done to address the concern.
3. If the issue is with your advisor (and direct discussion with them has not resolved it to your satisfaction) or if you feel that your advisor has not adequately addressed the issue, you should address your concern to the DCT.

E-Mail
Electronic mail is often the preferred method of contact. Even if you primarily use other email accounts (e.g., Gmail), students are expected to regularly check their Illinois Tech email (at least daily Monday-Friday is best). In the event that Illinois Tech email addresses are not primary, it is advisable that students set up your Illinois Tech email to forward to the primary email account or set up a technological solution to automatically receive correspondence to the Illinois Tech email address (e.g., POP3, IMAP).

Be aware that the impression you make electronically is just as important as the impression you make over the phone or in person. Use the following to guide your interactions with faculty and other professionals:

1. When contacting someone, do some research as to the appropriate form of address. If the individual has a PhD, PsyD, MD, etc. – refer to them as “Doctor” or “Dr.” A professional with a Master’s degree (MA, MS, MSW, MCSW, MFT, etc.) may be referred to as “Mr.” or “Ms.” Please consider gender pronouns when beginning emails. If you are unaware of the receiver’s degree or pronouns, then begin the email generically “Dear Jane Smith.”

2. Always be professional and deferential at first. An example is e-mailing someone to request a copy of a measure they used in a study. Do not e-mail and assume you can get a copy of it.

*Not Recommended:* 
Dear Joe, I would really like to use the XXX in my thesis. Could you send me a copy? Thanks!

*Better:* 
Dear Dr. Smith: 
I hope this email finds you well. I recently read your article on XXX (Journal of Abnormal Psychology) and was very interested in the measure you developed for this study. I am currently a graduate student at Illinois Tech and am working with Dr. Smith. I am planning my Master’s thesis and am currently planning on investigating XXXYXXXXZZ. I would be very interested in using your measure, as it will tap into the variable I am hoping to study.

I was hoping that you might be able to direct me to where I could obtain this measure, or, if you would be willing to send me a copy of it. I will be happy to share my findings with you.

Thank you very much for your consideration, and I look forward to hearing
from you.

Sincerely,
John Doe

3. Do not use overly informal or abbreviated text in an email (i.e., if you would text it to a friend, do not e-mail it to a professor).

4. Do not respond immediately to an e-mail that has upset you. Take a break, re-read it and then draft a response.

5. When in doubt about the tone of an email you are about to send – ask someone else to read it first.

6. When you receive an e-mail from a professor or other professional, it is important to respond as promptly as possible (preferably within 1 business day). If you are unable to respond to an e-mail request within this time period (e.g., you are on vacation without access to e-mail), it would be advisable to create an out-of-office reply that indicates how long you will be unavailable and when the correspondent can expect a response. If you need more than 1 business day to formulate a response (e.g., you need to look up information), you should send a brief e-mail letting the person know when they can expect a more detailed response. If a detailed response is not required, it is still advisable to send a brief response letting the individual know that you have received their e-mail; something as brief as “I got it, thanks!” would be sufficient.

Social Media
Many of you use social media sites such as Instagram, Facebook, Twitter, etc. It is important that you recognize that, depending on your security settings, information that you post on these accounts MAY NOT be private. There have been several stories in the news about people who were either fired, or lost job offers, because of photos, videos, etc. that they posted on social media sites. You should also recognize that what you post on social media sites does not only reflect on you, but also reflect on the Illinois Tech Clinical Program. That is, because many of you list your academic institutions and degrees in your profiles, what you post may also reflect on the program and the university.

While we have no problem with your use of social media, we would like you to keep the following things in mind when posting to these sites:

- Use the strongest security settings that are appropriate more broadly.
  Generally, it is recommended that you limit access to your posts to your friends only. You definitely do not want your practica supervisor or patients to have access to photos of you, for example, in a bathing suit or videos of you drinking at a bar.
• Please also check security settings multiple times per year, especially after system-wide updates to social media programs, as security settings may be automatically reset to a less secure level.
• Remember that social media is not the only way that information is shared. A friend who has access to your posts may share it with others. Thus, information you post to a social media site can still be circulated to unintended recipients and therefore have unintended consequences.
• When posting to a social media site it is best to assume that anyone can see it. Thus, if you would not want your advisor, boss or grandmother to see it, you probably should not post it to a social media site.
• Consider using profile names for social media accounts that are not easily searchable by colleagues and clients/patients.

While some faculty may be open to connecting via social media with students, this may not be true for all faculty. Thus, it is best to ask faculty what their policy is about connecting with current and former students via social networking sites.

Attitude
We expect that generally, you to come to class with a positive attitude and an enthusiasm for learning. We expect you always to be respectful of other student’s privacy and confidentiality. We also expect you always to adhere to APA standards, as well as local, state, and federal laws concerning confidentiality.

Throughout the course of your academic studies, we will be required to provide you with feedback around a variety of issues (e.g., class performance, professionalism, etc.). We ask that you be open to this feedback; it is not intended as criticism but rather as a vehicle to foster personal and professional growth. You will be evaluated with regard to your ability to non-defensively accept and effectively utilize feedback. This is a critical skill that will be of interest to both potential employers as well as graduate school mentors.
Self-Help and Self-Care

Self-care is critical for facilitating work-life balance and protecting against burnout. A committee of graduate students compiles a live document of resources for clinical program students that includes a wide range of helpful information. Please consult with the Student Wellness Committee for further information. The Clinical Program is demanding, so please take a moment to appreciate each milestone completion as a tremendous accomplishment. Don’t forget to celebrate! This may be of even greater importance when accomplishing milestones virtually.

The following section on self-care was written by several Illinois Tech Clinical Psychology Ph.D. students.

The clinical psychology program in the Department of Psychology at Illinois Tech recognizes that self-care is essential for students and faculty alike in maintaining optimal health and wellbeing while engaging in the rigors of academia and balancing this work with one’s personal life. The World Health Organization defines self-care as “what people do for themselves to establish and maintain health, prevent and deal with illness” (1998). More broadly, self-care can be thought of as the actions an individual takes to better prepare oneself or to enable oneself to cope with stressors in general, and to nourish one’s own resilience. Self-care can take many forms, but, in general, encompasses aspects of self-awareness, self-regulation, and balance (Baker, 2003). Students in the Clinical Psychology doctoral program are actively encouraged to cultivate a practice of self-care that will serve them in their graduate training and professional careers.

Self-care is promoted through both formal and informal means in the program. As part of their mentorship with students, advisors and all faculty encourage students to engage in self-care. Part of this encouragement involves urging students to maintain an openness to potential learning experiences as a graduate student, but equally important to also realistically assess the demands on their time and to prioritize what they may reasonably accomplish.

The Clinical Psychology doctoral student body is another source of support and self-care for students in the program. The program’s student run Social Committee regularly plans activities to bring students and faculty together outside of the university setting to provide a chance to relax and enjoy each other’s company. Other peer-led programs, such as Diversity Dialogues and Colloquiums, also provide opportunities for students and other members of the department to engage around topics of common interest.

We promote a proactive attitude towards mental health issues and encourage any student who feels they may need mental health services (psychotherapy) to seek out these services early. The IIT Student Health and Wellness Center recognizes the special needs of psychology graduate students and are available for no-cost help. We also are creating relationships with community therapists who are willing to accept our graduate students at reduced rates if students prefer a therapist outside of IIT.
Importantly, your choice to seek mental health services will not be stigmatized in any way. However, you DO NOT in any way have to inform anyone of you using mental health services.

In sum, the clinical program faculty recognize that completing a graduate degree is a stressful process, and actively encourage students to engage with both informal and professional supports as needed.
APPENDIX
**IIT's Commitment to Diversity: Building Community and Fostering Diversity**  
(April 25, 2013)

IIT's commitment to diversity is affirmed in the following institutional statement: Illinois Institute of Technology is a community that values and respects its members. We appreciate that our faculty, staff, students, alumni/ae and trustees come from many backgrounds and many parts of the world. We embrace the contributions that differences offer. We are committed to providing a working and learning environment in which all students and all members of the faculty and staff are able to realize their full potential.

Building community—one that includes students, faculty, staff, visitors, partners, and tenants—and embracing diversity requires action at the institutional as well as the personal level. From an institutional perspective, it means committing to hiring practices that result in faculty and staff who better reflect the composition of our student body. It means partnering with our neighbors and taking a leadership role in community engagement. And it means holding each member of the IIT community accountable for doing his and her part to move this agenda forward.

At the personal level, it means recognizing that some of the things we do on a daily basis also can strengthen our community and make others feel welcome, included, and valued. The following is not meant to be a comprehensive list of suggestions but rather starting points to build community and foster diversity and respect—one person and one day at a time.

**Ask rather than assume.**
- And names are a good place to start. For example, "Do you prefer Timothy or Tim?" Then remember the preference; use the name in conversations and email; and, if necessary, apologize for mispronouncing or forgetting it.

**Don't forget the please.**
- Or the thank you, I'm sorry, and it's good to see you. Being polite goes a long way to making someone feel welcomed and included. Sometimes all it takes is saying hello.

**Give people the benefit of the doubt.**
- Assume people have a good reason for saying what they are saying—and doing what they are doing. Think the best before you assume the worst.

**The difference between hearing and listening is understanding.**
- Communication is complicated. But it gets easier when we move from hearing what is being said to listening to the person who is saying it.

**Face it. There are times when you need to pick up the phone or deliver the message in person.**
- But if you decide to go electronic, at least think before you hit that send button.
Acknowledge your baggage.
• Some of it is worth carrying with us. Some of it should be checked. And sometimes we may not even realize we're taking it with us. So try not to leave your bags unattended—and be aware when it may be weighing on your perceptions, actions, and responses.

Consider when it's a good thing to act—and when it's better to watch from the sidelines.
• Don’t be content to look the other way when something unacceptable is happening.

Take advantage of "talking moments."
• Sometimes people are just unknowing rather than insensitive. And most of them will thank you for gently pointing this out. But don’t call it a teaching moment.

Perception is reality.
• Keep in mind: What I hear may not be what you said. What you conclude may not be what I meant. So, asking for clarification is better than assuming.

Put diversity into your daily routine.
• Add a new colleague to your committee. Seek out someone with a different point of view. Get to know someone in another office. Ask someone about his or her country. It all starts with you.

It's time to move forward, stand up, and be counted. Let's build community, embrace diversity, and foster respect at IIT—one person and one day at a time.
Faculty response to racism, police brutality, and systemic oppression against Black people in the U.S.

IIT Clinical Psychology Core Faculty

June 2020

We affirm that Black Lives Matter. We actively and openly condemn the racist murders of Black individuals such as George Floyd, Ahmaud Arbury, Breonna Taylor, Philando Castile, Michelle Cusseaux, Eric Garner, Aura Rosser, Mike Brown, Tamir Rice, Tanisha Anderson, Freddie Grey, Trayvon Martin, Meagan Hockaday, and the countless others who were unnecessarily killed due to police brutality and/or racism. We demand justice for these murders and the passage of federal legislation requiring extensive police reforms.

We recognize that the problems underlying and leading to these tragic deaths - namely racism, police brutality against Black people, and more broadly the structural and systemic oppression of Black people in the U.S. - require a multi-tiered and ongoing response to be eradicated. Thus, as program faculty, we are developing a multi-tiered response to not only the recent murders of innocent Black people by police officers, but also the continued structural and systemic racial injustice in the U.S. This plan will include action items describing the faculty and program’s immediate responses to the recent racial injustices, as well as action items for sustaining the faculty and program’s commitment to achieving equity for Black people over the long term. We will distribute the plan in the coming weeks, once we are able to articulate a response that attempts to meet this moment. We also want all students to know we are here to support you, via email, phone, video, or when possible, in-person. To that end, each faculty member has listed their phone number below, and we welcome you to reach out to us anytime for additional support regarding these critical issues.

We recognize our own Whiteness and the privilege that comes with it. In doing so, we recognize that our understanding of anti-Black sentiments and actions is incomplete. We commit to supporting our Black allies by being active anti-racists. We will practice active anti-racism by educating ourselves continually, engaging in various mechanisms of activism, and further integrating active anti-racism into our lives and into the IIT Clinical Psychology curriculum.

Together, we will fight against racial injustice and dismantle the embedded structures that oppress Black people in the U.S.

In solidarity, and in support of all Black people,

The Clinical Program Core Faculty
Alissa, Greg, Joyce, Rachael, & Steve
Faculty and Student Research Mentorship Expectations

The Clinical Psychology PhD program at Illinois Tech relies heavily on a mentoring relationship between the PhD student and faculty mentor. Both the mentor and student will have expectations of each other, and it is important to try to assure that there is a shared understanding of those expectations. Below are some commitments that the Clinical Psychology program expects faculty mentors and PhD students to make to each other. The program expects a discussion of this document and the specific items listed below to facilitate timely completion of the program and to maximize student success.

Responsibilities of the graduate student mentee:

- Identify a focus for research. Mentor(s) will provide guidance and help with clarity, but the research focus should be driven by the student’s own passions and interests.
- Be an engaged and active participant in research lab throughout doctoral training. Be a good lab citizen.
- Take primary responsibility for the development of career and commitment to lifelong learning by reading the research literature, regular attendance at relevant seminars, and attendance at scientific meetings. Actively seek out opportunities to help meet training goals.
- Develop a timeline to achieve educational and research goals and review it with mentor at least annually. Set and strive to meet deadlines.
- Be honest and respect all ethical standards when conducting research and other scholarly activities. This includes compliance with all institutional and federal regulations for human subjects research as well as policies regarding copyright, permissions, and plagiarism.
- Strive to be increasingly independent in training activities including writing manuscripts for publication, designing and conducting research, mentoring undergraduate and less experienced doctoral students.
- Seek regular feedback on performance, including open and timely communication of any challenges.
- Meet with mentor regularly for updates on research plans and progress.
- Be responsive to advice and constructive criticism, and acknowledge that feedback is intended to improve scientific work.
- Be knowledgeable of the policies, deadlines, and requirements of the Clinical Psychology PhD program and the graduate college of Illinois Tech.
- Maintain a relationship with the mentor that is based on trust and mutual respect.
- Completion of the degree, including course, practicum, and research requirements.
Responsibilities of the faculty mentor:

- Facilitate the training and professional development of the student. Work with the student to develop a program plan that best prepares the student to achieve his/her training and career goals.
- Use personal expertise, other faculty expertise, and lab/departmental resources to provide opportunities for the student to become an expert in his/her area of research interest.
- Encourage the student to interact with other experts in the research field, including attendance at professional meetings to network and present research findings. Facilitate current and future research collaborations through introductions to other researchers in the field.
- Maintain a relationship with the student that is based on trust and mutual respect. Acknowledge that open communication and formal competency reviews will help ensure that expectations are being met.
- Encourage a progressive level of independence and increased responsibility as the student progresses through the program; facilitate the student’s transition to an independent researcher.
- Promote and model all ethical standards for conducting research and engaging in scholarly activity. This includes compliance with all institutional and federal regulations for human subjects research as well as responsibility for copyright, permissions, and plagiarism. Be available to discuss ethical concerns as they arise.
- Be supportive, fair, accessible, encouraging, and respectful.
- Commit to being a supportive colleague as the student transitions to the next stage in his/her career and throughout their professional life.

The faculty mentor and PhD student should discuss the items below and any other individual concerns in order to reach a shared understanding.

- How often will we meet? When and where will meetings take place? Who will be responsible for establishing the agenda?
- What is our preferred method of communication? Within what time frame can a response be expected?
- How much lead time does the mentor need to review materials prior to discussion/feedback?
- What are the policies for manuscript and presentation authorship? Ownership of data?
- What additional expectations does the mentor have of the student?
- What additional expectations does the student have of the mentor?
Trainee Practicum Evaluation

Trainee: ________________________________

Supervisor: ________________________________

Site: ________________________________

Period of Evaluation: ______________

Type of Supervision: Individual ____  Group ____  Both ____

Supervision methods (check all that apply):

Verbal summary ______  Audio review ______  Video review ______  Live observation ______

Date(s) of video review or live observation ________________________________

Please rate the student on the following competencies using this scale:
1 = Development lags expectations, remedial action required
2 = Development lags expectations, address within supervision
3 = Developing as expected towards basic competency
4 = Achieved basic competency
5 = Achieved advanced competency
NA = Not Applicable or Unable to Judge

Ethical and Legal Standards
Knowledge and application of the APA Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct, organizational/local statutes regulating professional practice of health service psychology, and other professional standards and guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Recognition of ethical dilemmas as they arise

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | NA |

Appropriate consultation and decision-making to resolve ethical dilemmas

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | NA |

Conducts self in an ethical manner in all professional activities

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | NA |

Individual and Cultural Diversity

Exhibits sensitivity to individual and cultural diversity

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | NA |

 Understands how personal/cultural history, attitudes, and biases may affect how they understand and interact with people different from themselves

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | NA |
| Knowledge of current research as it relates to addressing diversity in clinical practice | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| Awareness of individual and cultural differences | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| Ability to work effectively with individuals whose group membership, demographic characteristics, or worldviews create conflict with their own in order to provide culturally sensitive services | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |

**Professional Values and Attitudes**

| Appropriate manifestation of professional identity, including integrity, deportment, and accountability | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| Concern for the welfare of others | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| Engages in self-reflection of personal and professional functioning | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| Engages in activities to maintain and improve performance, well-being, and effectiveness (e.g., relevant readings, grand rounds, didactic seminars) | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| Demonstrates openness and responsiveness to feedback and supervision | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |

**Communication and Interpersonal Skills**

| Able to develop appropriate and effective relationships with colleagues, supervisors, clients, supervisees, and other health professionals | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| Communicates clearly in written and oral forms in in multiple settings and roles | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| Demonstrates effective interpersonal skills and the ability to manage difficult communication well | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |

**Assessment**

| Knowledge and application of evidence-based assessment methods | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| Collects relevant data appropriate to the identified goals and questions of the assessment | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| Demonstrates skills in administering assessment measures | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| Able to interpret assessment results | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| Integrates relevant data into meaningful/coherent case conceptualization | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| Communicates the findings and implications of assessment in an accurate and effective manner sensitive to a range of recipients | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |

**Intervention**

<p>| Able to establish and maintain rapport | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| Develops evidence-based intervention plans | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill Description</th>
<th>Rating 1</th>
<th>Rating 2</th>
<th>Rating 3</th>
<th>Rating 4</th>
<th>Rating 5</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates skill in implementing interventions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates the ability to apply the relevant research literature to clinical decision making</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Able to modify and adapt evidence-based approaches when a clear evidence-base is lacking</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluates intervention effectiveness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adapts intervention goals and methods consistent with ongoing evaluation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supervision**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill Description</th>
<th>Rating 1</th>
<th>Rating 2</th>
<th>Rating 3</th>
<th>Rating 4</th>
<th>Rating 5</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates knowledge of supervision models and practices</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effectively mentors and monitors trainees in their development of clinical skills</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintains appropriate behavior as a role model and responsibility for supervised activities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultation and Interprofessional/Interdisciplinary Skills**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill Description</th>
<th>Rating 1</th>
<th>Rating 2</th>
<th>Rating 3</th>
<th>Rating 4</th>
<th>Rating 5</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates knowledge and respect for the roles and perspectives of other professions and professionals</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates knowledge of consultation models and practices</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborates appropriately with other professionals</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall rating of clinical competency during this period of evaluation</th>
<th>Rating 1</th>
<th>Rating 2</th>
<th>Rating 3</th>
<th>Rating 4</th>
<th>Rating 5</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Please comment on Trainee’s strengths:

Please comment on Trainee’s weaknesses:

Supervisor signature: _____________________________________________
Date: ________________

Trainee signature: _______________________________________________
Date: ________________
The Trainee Qualifications and Credentials Verification Letter (TQCVL) is a letter that DCTs are required to sign and submit to the VA on behalf of each trainee that will be working at the VA. The letter contains personal and medical information (e.g., vaccination status) to which the DCT is customarily not privy.

The following is a set of guidelines for handling this VA requirement to protect the privacy and respect the self-determination of clinical psychology students as they decide if they wish to pursue VA training opportunities. This process is also in place to ensure that the DCT feels comfortable signing a document that attests to information not appropriate for a DCT to review or evaluate (e.g., vaccination records). For this documented policy, the term DCT refers to the current Program Director of the Clinical Psychology Ph.D. Program at Illinois Tech or an acting DCT who has been appointed the role of acting Program Director in the absence or unavailability of the current DCT.

1. The TQCVL VA requirement will be made transparent to all program students, including the option not to pursue VA training if students wish not to disclose information required by the TQCVL letter; this information, including this written policy, will be included in detail in the
   a. clinical psychology program’s student handbook
   b. practicum training orientation and materials
   c. clinical internship training orientation and materials.

2. Students pursuing VA training and for whom the VA has requested a DCT-endorsed TQCVL must, per the VA, completed the following steps:
   a. obtain a tuberculosis screening (with a formal physician note and signature attesting to this screening)
   b. satisfy one of the following: i) obtain a hepatitis B vaccine, ii) show formal documentation of having already received such a vaccine (with a formal physician note and signature attesting to this vaccination), or iii) a signed declination waiver.
   c. satisfy one of the following: by November 30th of the preceding year i) obtain an influenza vaccine, ii) show formal documentation of having already received such a vaccine (with a formal physician note and signature attesting to this vaccination), or iii) a signed declination waiver and a written acknowledgement that declining this waiver requires wearing a face mask at VA facilities during the entirety of the influenza season.
   d. sign a program-specific statement that
      i. permits the DCT to screen your name against the Health and Human Services’ list of Excluded Individuals
      ii. acknowledges that should your name show up on the Health and Human Services’ list of Excluded Individuals, the DCT will be unable to endorse your TQCVL
iii. sign a program-specific statement that, if assigned to male\(^1\) at birth, a US citizen or immigrant, and between 18 and 25 years old (inclusive of 18 and 25), you have registered with Selective Service or will provide a Status Information Letter.

e. For non-US citizen trainees,
   i. provide the DCT with documented proof of current immigrant or non-immigrant status. This may include visa status documents, permanent resident card, Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) trainee Employment Authorization Document (Form I-766), and other forms as requested by the VA during this process
   ii. sign a statement that permits the DCT to provide this documented proof of current immigrant or non-immigrant status along with the TQCVL to the VA.

The foregoing are mandatory requirements set by the VA. The DCT has no ability to waive or modify them. Of course, the decision to pursue training at the VA is at the sole option of the student, and a student who does not wish to comply with these requirements may seek training at a non-VA facility.

3. To protect the privacy and security of the information required to be collected for the TQCVL, the following protocols will be followed:
   a. Any information collected by the DCT for purposes of completing your TQCVL will be reviewed only by the DCT for purposes of completing the TQCVL or verifying information on the TQCVL. No other faculty will have access to this information.
   b. The information for completing the TQCVL will be stored in a locked filing cabinet in the office of the DCT. Other than the DCT, no other faculty, staff, or students will have access to the TQCVL information at IIT.
   c. Information for the TQCVL will be stored for 1 year passed the date of earning the Ph.D. or otherwise discontinuing from the program. At this time, the TQCVL will be destroyed by the DCT.

\(^1\)Federal law requires that most males living in the US between the ages of 18 and 25 (inclusive of 18 and 25) register with the Selective Service System. This includes individuals who are US citizens, non-US citizens and dual nationals, regardless of their immigration status. Male for this purpose is defined as those individuals born male on their birth certificate regardless of current gender. Only male, non-US citizens on a student or visitor visa are exempt from registration. Males required to register, but who fail to do so by their 26th birthday, are barred from any position in any Executive Agency.
TQCVL Attestation for the Clinical Psychology Doctoral Program in the Department of Psychology at Illinois Institute of Technology

I, ________________________________ (“I,” “me,” or “my”), am enrolled in the Clinical Psychology Doctoral Program of the Department of Psychology at Illinois Institute of Technology (the “Academic Program”). I have freely sought, and knowingly made the decision, to participate in a practical training program at ________________________________ (the “Training Program”), a facility operated by the Department of Veteran Affairs (the “VA”). In connection therewith, I acknowledge the VA mandates, as a condition of my participation in the Training Program, that I satisfy certain health requirements and confirm certain personal information. I further acknowledge that I have received information regarding these requirements and that I have read and understand them. I am aware that the VA mandates that my program director (hereinafter referred to as the “DCT”) endorse and submit a Trainee Qualifications and Credentials Verification Letter regarding these matters (the “TQCVL”), and I understand that the information needed for the DCT to do so is not information that the DCT or my Academic Program possesses or would otherwise request of me. Accordingly, in order to enable the DCT to endorse and submit the TQCVL, I knowingly and freely am providing the information below. I represent and warrant that all of the information below is true and accurate, and I acknowledge and agree that it may be relied upon by the DCT in connection with the endorsement and submission of the TQCVL.

Tuberculosis Screening

I agree to supply a copy of tuberculosis screening results and a formal physician note and signature endorsing these results to the DCT for verification and storage to comply with the TQCVL process.

Hepatitis B Vaccination

I agree to supply evidence of one of the following regarding the Hepatitis B vaccination to the DCT for verification and storage to comply with the TQCVL process (initial one only):

_____ Evidence of obtaining a Hepatitis B vaccine or having already obtained a Hepatitis B vaccine in the past (with a formal physician note and signature attesting to this vaccination); or

_____ A signed formal declination of the Hepatitis B vaccine.

Influenza Vaccination

I agree to supply evidence of one of the following to the DCT for verification and storage to comply with the TQCVL process (initial one only):

_____ I will obtain an influenza vaccine before November 30th of the current year;

_____ I will supply evidence of having already obtained an influenza vaccine for the upcoming influenza season to the DCT for verification and storage to comply with the TQCVL process; or

_____ I will decline an influenza vaccine for the upcoming influenza season, and I will wear a facemask at VA facilities during the entirety of the influenza season.
HHS List of Excluded Individuals

I permit the DCT to screen my name against the Health and Human Services’ list of Excluded Individuals and acknowledge that should my name show up on the Health and Human Services’ list of Excluded Individuals, the DCT will be unable to endorse my TQCVL.

Selective Service

Federal law requires that most males living in the US between the ages of 18 and 25 (inclusive of 18 and 25) register with the Selective Service System. This includes individuals who are US citizens, non-US citizens and dual nationals, regardless of their immigration status. Male for this purpose is defined as those individuals born male on their birth certificate regardless of current gender. Only male, non-US citizens on a student or visitor visa are exempt from registration. Males required to register, but who fail to do so by their 26th birthday, are barred from any position in any Executive Agency.

I state that I (initial one only):

_____ Am not a male assigned at birth, am not a US citizen or immigrant, or am not between 18 and 25 years old (inclusive of 18 and 25);

_____ Have registered with the Selective Service;

_____ Have not registered with the Selective Service despite being a US citizen or immigrant, assigned as a male at birth, and between the ages of 18 and 25 years old (inclusive of 18 and 25); however, I can provide the DCT with a Status Information Letter; or

_____ Have not registered with the Selective Service despite being a US citizen or immigrant, assigned as a male at birth, and between the ages of 18 and 25 years old (inclusive of 18 and 25); I cannot show proof of a Status Information Letter.

Citizenship and Immigrant Status

I state that I (initial one only):

_____ Am a US Citizen

_____ Am not a US Citizen but can provide the DCT with documented proof of current immigrant or non-immigrant status that may include visa status documents, permanent resident card, Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) trainee Employment Authorization Document (Form I-766), and other forms as requested by the VA during this process; and I permit the DCT to provide this documented proof of my current immigrant or non-immigrant status along with the TQCVL to the VA.

TQCVL Process for the Academic Program

I acknowledge that the TQCVL and the subject matters thereof are mandatory requirements set by the VA. Neither the Academic Program nor DCT has the ability to waive or modify these requirements. I also acknowledge that the decision to pursue training at the VA is my option, and if I do not wish to comply
with these requirements, I may seek training at a non-VA facility. With respect to my Academic Program, whatever decision I make will have no consequential impact. I understand that this information provided herein and to be provided pursuant hereto will be used, stored, safeguarded and destroyed consistent with the Department of Psychology’s TCQVL Verification Process for the Clinical Psychology Doctoral Program. Finally, I acknowledge and agree that the DCT will not, and cannot, endorse and submit a TCQVL for me so that I may participate in the Training Program until I have provided all information required herein.

I confirm that I am knowingly and freely agreeing to assume and take on all of the risks and responsibilities in any way associated with my participation in the Training Program, including, without limitation, providing the information necessary for the DCT to endorse and submit the TCQVL. In consideration of and return for the Academic Program permitting the DCT to do so, I, as and to the full extent allowed by law, hereby release Illinois Institute of Technology, its academic units, and/or its governing boards, employees and agents from any and all liability, claims and actions, excluding those arising from willful and wanton misconduct, that may arise from any injury or harm to me or from damage to property in connection with my participation in the Training Program, including, without limitation, providing the information necessary for the DCT to endorse and submit the TCQVL. I understand that this release covers liability, claims and actions caused entirely or in part by any acts or failure to act of Illinois Institute of Technology, its academic units, and/or its governing boards, employees or agents, including, but not limited to, negligence, mistake or failure to supervise, but excludes willful and wanton conduct.

I understand and agree that should any of the information that I provide or submit pursuant hereto be untrue, false, forged or inaccurate that my Academic Program will promptly inform the Training Program and that the Academic Program will pursue disciplinary action against me under applicable policies and procedures, which could ultimately result in my dismissal or expulsion from the Academic Program.

I HAVE CAREFULLY READ THIS AGREEMENT AND FULLY UNDERSTAND ITS CONTENTS. I AM AWARE THAT, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THIS IS A RELEASE OF LIABILITY. I HEREBY SIGN THIS AGREEMENT OF MY OWN FREE WILL, ACKNOWLEDGING THAT, PRIOR TO DOING SO, I HAD THE RIGHT TO CONSULT WITH AN ADVISOR, COUNSELOR OR ATTORNEY OF MY CHOOSING.

By my signature below, I represent and warrant that any and all of the information provided or submitted pursuant hereto is or will be true, complete and accurate, and I am freely and knowingly agreeing to the terms and provisions hereof.

_________________________     ____________
Signature                        Date
Loyola University Medical Center (LUMC) Verification Process for the Clinical Psychology Doctoral Program in the Department of Psychology at Illinois Institute of Technology

LUMC requires Illinois Tech to carry out a series of verification steps for its students as part of any practicum contract at the site. The verification will require the DCT to receive, review, and securely store personal and medical information (e.g., vaccination status) to which the DCT is customarily not privy. This information may be supplied to LUMC upon request.

The following is a set of guidelines for handling this LUMC requirement to protect the privacy and respect the self-determination of clinical psychology students as they decide if they wish to pursue LUMC training opportunities. This process is also in place to ensure that the DCT feels comfortable signing a document that attests to information not appropriate for a DCT to review or evaluate (e.g., vaccination records). For this documented policy, the term DCT refers to the current Program Director of the Clinical Psychology Ph.D. Program at Illinois Tech or an acting DCT who has been appointed the role of acting Program Director in the absence or unavailability of the current DCT.

1. The LUMC verification requirement will be made transparent to all program students, including the option not to pursue LUMC training if students wish not to disclose information required by the LUMC contract; this information, including this written policy, will be included in detail in the
   a. clinical psychology program’s student handbook
   b. practicum training orientation and materials

2. Students pursuing LUMC training must, per the LUMC contract, complete the following steps at his or her own expense:
   a. Supply evidence of completing a FERPA release permitting Illinois Tech and LUMC staff to communicate about your Illinois Tech academic standing and performance, if and when necessary.
   b. Supply official findings from a felony and misdemeanor background check showing “no findings.” Note. If results show findings for felony or misdemeanor, student must permit Illinois Tech to inform LUMC of any such prior convictions or current charges for any state or federal offenses, other than minor traffic violations.
   c. Supply evidence of successfully completing training on the requirements of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).
      a. Supply evidence of completing training and (re)certification in Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR)
      b. Provide the DCT with the following health certification information for review and storage:
         i. Two-step tuberculin skin or Quantiferon Gold test. Note. The Tuberculin Assessment Form must be completed if previous tuberculin test was positive. If student has a history of a positive TB skin test/Quantiferon test, or tests positive for TB, documentation of the positive test is required, as well as a doctor’s note that a copy of a chest x-ray has been taken and
reviewed within the past three months. If student took medication for active/latent tuberculosis, records must be provided.

ii. Documentation of measles, mumps and rubella titers showing immunity. If non-immune, two MMR vaccinations.

iii. Record of current TDAP immunization

iv. Record of current chicken pox or varicella titer as showing immunity

v. Negative 5-panel drug screen

vi. Hepatitis B vaccination information. Note. For purposes of this Agreement, Student shall be considered to be vaccinated against Hepatitis B if he or she has received at least one injection of the vaccine and is in the process of completing the required services of three injections.

1. Evidence of receiving the vaccination against Hepatitis B, or
2. Proof of immunity to Hepatitis B, or
3. Written and signed refusal of Hepatitis B vaccination that expressly holds LUMC harmless for any Hepatitis B exposure or infection that may result from LUMC training)

vii. Evidence of other immunization and health-related testing as may be required by the State Department of Health Services or the OSHA for each student assigned to LUMC, as these requirements may change from time to time.

viii. Evidence that student has received the seasonal flu vaccination (between the months of October and April) in accordance with LUMC’s policy.

ix. Evidence of having completed color blindness testing prior to placement at LUMC.

The foregoing are mandatory requirements set by the LUMC. The DCT has no ability to waive or modify them. Of course, the decision to pursue training at the LUMC is at the sole option of the student, and a student who does not wish to comply with these requirements may seek training at a non-LUMC facility.

3. To protect the privacy and security of the information required to be collected for the LUMC verification process, the following protocols will be followed:
   a. Any information collected by the DCT for the purposes of completing your LUMC verification will be reviewed only by the DCT for purposes of verifying information required by the LUMC contract. No other faculty will have access to this information.
   b. The information for completing the LUMC verification process will be stored in a locked filing cabinet in the office of the DCT. Other than the DCT, no other faculty, staff, or students will have access to student-specific LUMC verification information at IIT.
   c. Student information for the LUMC verification process will be stored for 1 year passed the date of earning the Ph.D. or otherwise discontinuing from the program. At this time, the student-specific LUMC verification information will be destroyed by the DCT.
LUMC Attestation for the Clinical Psychology Doctoral Program in the Department of Psychology at Illinois Institute of Technology

I, __________________________ ("I," “me,” or “my”), am enrolled in the Clinical Psychology Doctoral Program of the Department of Psychology at Illinois Institute of Technology (the “Academic Program”). I have freely sought, and knowingly made the decision, to participate in a practicum training program at Loyola University Medical Center (LUMC) In connection therewith, I acknowledge the LUMC mandates, as a condition of my participation at LUMC, that I satisfy certain requirements and confirm certain personal information. I further acknowledge that I have received information regarding these requirements and that I have read and understand them. I am aware that the LUMC mandates that my program director (hereinafter referred to as the “DCT”) collect, review, store, and submit to LUMC information regarding these matters, and I understand that the information needed for the DCT to do so is not information that the DCT or my Academic Program possesses or would otherwise request of me. Accordingly, in order to enable the DCT to satisfy the LUMC requirements, I knowingly and freely am providing the information below. I represent and warrant that all of the information below is true and accurate, and I acknowledge and agree that it may be relied upon by the DCT in connection with the LUMC requirements.

FERPA Release

I agree to supply a copy or receipt of submission of a FERPA release explicitly permitting the Academic Program to communicate with and provide FERPA-protected information to LUMC staff.

Criminal Background Check

I agree to supply a copy of findings from a felony and misdemeanor background check completed, at my expense, within two months of the LUMC training start date. I acknowledge that if results of the criminal background check show findings for felony or misdemeanor, I permit the Academic Program to inform LUMC of any such prior convictions or current charges for any state or federal offenses, other than minor traffic violations.

5-Panel Drug Screen

I agree to supply a copy of findings from a 5-panel drug screen, at my expense, within two months of the LUMC training start date. I acknowledge that if results of the drug screen are positive for drug use, I permit the Academic Program to inform LUMC of any such findings.

Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Training

I agree to supply evidence of completing OSHA training, completed at my own expense.

Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) Training and Certification or Recertification

I agree to supply evidence of completing CPR training and certification or recertification, completed at my own expense.

Tuberculosis Screening

I agree to supply a copy of a two-step tuberculin skin or Quantiferon Gold test and a formal physician note and signature endorsing these results to the DCT for verification and storage to comply with the LUMC process. I acknowledge that the Tuberculin Assessment Form must be completed and supplied to the DCT...
if previous tuberculin test was positive. I acknowledge that if I have a history of a positive TB skin test/Quantiferon test, or tests positive for TB, documentation of the positive test is required, as well as a doctor’s note that a copy of a chest x-ray has been taken and reviewed within the past three months. I acknowledge that if I took medication for active/latent tuberculosis, records must be provided.

**Measles, Mumps, Rubella (MMR)**

I agree to supply documentation of measles, mumps and rubella titers showing immunity, or if non-immune, supply evidence of receiving two MMR vaccinations.

**Tetanus, Diphtheria, and Pertussis (TDAP)**

I agree to supply documentation of TDAP immunization.

**Chicken Pox or Varicella**

I agree to supply documentation of chicken pox or varicella titer showing immunity

**Hepatitis B Vaccination**

I agree to supply evidence of one of the following regarding the Hepatitis B vaccination to the DCT for verification and storage to comply with the LUMC process (initial one only):

- Evidence of obtaining a Hepatitis B vaccine or having already obtained a Hepatitis B vaccine in the past (with a formal physician note and signature attesting to this vaccination);
- Proof of immunity to Hepatitis B; or
- A signed formal declination of the Hepatitis B vaccine that expressly holds LUMC harmless for any Hepatitis B exposure or infection that may result from LUMC training.

**Influenza Vaccination**

I agree to supply evidence that I have received the seasonal flu vaccination (between the months of October and April) in accordance with LUMC’s policy.

**Other Immunization and Health-Related Testing**

I acknowledge that I may need to provide evidence of other immunization and health-related testing as required by the State Department of Health Services or the OSHA, as these requirements may change from time to time.

**LUMC Process for the Academic Program**

I acknowledge that the above are mandatory requirements set by the LUMC. Neither the Academic Program nor DCT has the ability to waive or modify these requirements. I also acknowledge that the decision to pursue training at LUMC is my option, and if I do not wish to comply with these requirements, I may seek training at a non-LUMC facility. With respect to my Academic Program, whatever decision I make will have no consequential impact. I understand that this information provided herein and to be provided pursuant hereto will be used, stored, safeguarded and destroyed consistent with the Department of Psychology’s Loyola University Medical Center (LUMC) Verification Process. Finally, I
acknowledge and agree that the DCT will not, and cannot, endorse your LUMC training until I have provided all information required herein.

I confirm that I am knowingly and freely agreeing to assume and take on all of the risks and responsibilities in any way associated with my participation in training at LUMC, including, without limitation, providing the information necessary for the DCT to endorse my training at LUMC. In consideration of and return for the Academic Program permitting the DCT to do so, I, as and to the full extent allowed by law, hereby release Illinois Institute of Technology, its academic units, and/or its governing boards, employees and agents from any and all liability, claims and actions, excluding those arising from willful and wanton misconduct, that may arise from any injury or harm to me or from damage to property in connection with my participation in the LUMC training, including, without limitation, providing the information necessary for the DCT to endorse your training at LUMC. I understand that this release covers liability, claims and actions caused entirely or in part by any acts or failure to act of Illinois Institute of Technology, its academic units, and/or its governing boards, employees or agents, including, but not limited to, negligence, mistake or failure to supervise, but excludes willful and wanton conduct.

I understand and agree that should any of the information that I provide or submit pursuant hereto be untrue, false, forged or inaccurate that my Academic Program will promptly inform LUMC and that the Academic Program will pursue disciplinary action against me under applicable policies and procedures, which could ultimately result in my dismissal or expulsion from the Academic Program.

I HAVE CAREFULLY READ THIS AGREEMENT AND FULLY UNDERSTAND ITS CONTENTS. I AM AWARE THAT, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THIS IS A RELEASE OF LIABILITY. I HEREBY SIGN THIS AGREEMENT OF MY OWN FREE WILL, ACKNOWLEDGING THAT, PRIOR TO DOING SO, I HAD THE RIGHT TO CONSULT WITH AN ADVISOR, COUNSELOR OR ATTORNEY OF MY CHOOSING.

By my signature below, I represent and warrant that any and all of the information provided or submitted pursuant hereto is or will be true, complete and accurate, and I am freely and knowingly agreeing to the terms and provisions hereof.

_____________________________________  ____________________
Signature       Date
University of Chicago Medicine (UCMC) Verification Process for the Clinical Psychology Doctoral Program in the Department of Psychology at Illinois Institute of Technology

University of Chicago Medicine (UCMC) requires Illinois Tech to complete a Medical Regulatory Requirements for Students verification form as part of practicum at the site. The verification will require the DCT to receive, review, and securely store personal and medical information (e.g., vaccination status) to which the DCT is customarily not privy. This information may be supplied to UCMC upon request.

The following is a set of guidelines for handling this UCMC requirement to protect the privacy and respect the self-determination of clinical psychology students as they decide if they wish to pursue UCMC training opportunities. This process is also in place to ensure that the DCT feels comfortable signing a document that attests to information not appropriate for a DCT to review or evaluate (e.g., vaccination records). For this documented policy, the term DCT refers to the current Program Director of the Clinical Psychology Ph.D. Program at Illinois Tech or an acting DCT who has been appointed the role of acting Program Director in the absence or unavailability of the current DCT.

1. The UCMC verification requirement will be made transparent to all program students, including the option not to pursue UCMC training if students wish not to disclose information required by the UCMC Medical Regulatory Requirements for Students form; this information, including this written policy, will be included in detail in the
   a. clinical psychology program’s student handbook
   b. practicum training orientation and materials

2. Students pursuing UCMC training and for whom UCMC has requested an authorized school representative to certify that they meet all UCMC screening requirements, must provide the DCT with the following medical information for review and storage, including the corresponding date(s) of the same:
   a. Documentation of tuberculosis screening
      i. NEGATIVE HISTORY: Documentation of 2 TB skin tests is required IF the student is assigned to UCMC for > 3 months. One must be within the past 12 months and one must be within 3 months of start date. If the assignment is ≤ 3 months, one TB skin test within 12 months of the start date is required. Placement of the 2nd TB skin test must be at least 7 days after placement of the 1st TB skin test.
         1. QuantiFERON®-TB Gold test (QFT-G) is acceptable in lieu of TB skin testing. QFT-G testing must be within 3 months of the start date if the assignment is > 3 months. If the assignment is ≤ 3 months the QFT-G must be within 12 months of the start date.
      ii. POSITIVE HISTORY: Documentation of + TB skin test and Chest X-ray. Chest X-ray must be within 3 months of the start date. Please note: those with a history of BCG vaccination without + TB skin test documentation are not exempt from TB testing.
   b. Documentation of rubeola (measles) immunity (titer) OR two doses of live measles vaccine
   c. Documentation of mumps immunity (titer) OR two doses of live mumps vaccine
d. Documentation of rubella immunity (titer) OR vaccination

e. Documentation of varicella (chicken pox) immunity (titer) OR two doses of varicella vaccine

f. Documentation of hepatitis B vaccination OR positive Hepatitis B antibody titer OR vaccine declination
   i. Hepatitis B surface antigen and Hepatitis B surface antibody titer is required for students assigned to renal dialysis units


g. Evidence of urine drug screen (forensic) 8 or 9 panel using chain of custody protocol and sent to a NIDA (SAMHSA) certified lab
   i. UCMC does not require testing for THC (marijuana)

h. Documentation of completion of all recommended doses of a COVID-19 vaccine which is FDA approved/authorized

i. Documentation of TDAP vaccination within the past 10 years

j. Documentation of annual influenza vaccination (September 1 – March 31)

The foregoing are mandatory requirements set by UCMC. The DCT has no ability to waive or modify them. Of course, the decision to pursue training at UCMC is at the sole option of the student, and a student who does not wish to comply with these requirements may seek training at a non-UCMC facility.

3. To protect the privacy and security of the information required to be collected for the UCMC verification process, the following protocols will be followed:
   a. Any information collected by the DCT for the purposes of completing your UCMC verification will be reviewed only by the DCT for purposes of verifying information required by the UCMC practicum. No other faculty will have access to this information.
   b. The information for completing the UCMC verification process will be stored in a locked filing cabinet in the office of the DCT. Other than the DCT, no other faculty, staff, or students will have access to student-specific UCMC verification information at IIT.
   c. Student information for the UCMC verification process will be stored for 1 year passed the date of earning the Ph.D. or otherwise discontinuing from the program. After this time, the student-specific UCMC verification information will be destroyed by the DCT.
UCMC Attestation for the Clinical Psychology Doctoral Program in the Department of Psychology at Illinois Institute of Technology

I, ________________________________ ("I," “me,” or “my”), am enrolled in the Clinical Psychology Doctoral Program of the Department of Psychology at Illinois Institute of Technology (the “Academic Program”). I have freely sought, and knowingly made the decision, to participate in a practicum training program at University of Chicago Medicine (UCMC). In connection therewith, I acknowledge the UCMC mandates, as a condition of my participation at UCMC, that I satisfy certain requirements and confirm certain personal information. I further acknowledge that I have received information regarding these requirements and that I have read and understand them. I am aware that the UCMC mandates that my program director (hereinafter referred to as the “DCT”) collect, review, store, and submit to UCMC information regarding these matters, and I understand that the information needed for the DCT to do so is not information that the DCT or my Academic Program possesses or would otherwise request of me. Accordingly, in order to enable the DCT to satisfy the UCMC requirements, I knowingly and freely am providing the information below. I represent and warrant that all of the information below is true and accurate, and I acknowledge and agree that it may be relied upon by the DCT in connection with the UCMC requirements.

Tuberculosis Screening

I agree to supply documentation of tuberculosis screening. If I have a negative history for TB, I acknowledge that documentation of 2 TB skin tests is required IF I am assigned to UCMC for > 3 months. One must be within the past 12 months and one must be within 3 months of start date. If the assignment is < 3 months, one TB skin test within 12 months of the start date is required. Placement of the 2nd TB skin test must be at least 7 days after placement of the 1st TB skin test. I acknowledge that the QuantiFERON®-TB Gold test (QFT-G) is acceptable in lieu of TB skin testing. QFT-G testing must be within 3 months of the start date if the assignment is > 3 months. If the assignment is < 3 months the QFT-G must be within 12 months of the start date.

If I have a positive history for TB, I acknowledge that: (i) UCMC requires documentation of a + TB skin test and chest X-ray, with the chest X-ray within 3 months of the start date; and (ii) those with a history of BCG vaccination without + TB skin test documentation are not exempt from TB testing.

Rubeola (Measles)

I agree to supply documentation of Rubeola (measles) immunity OR evidence of receiving two doses of live measles vaccine.

Mumps

I agree to supply documentation of Mumps immunity OR evidence of receiving two doses of live mumps vaccine.
Rubella

I agree to supply documentation of Rubella immunity OR evidence of vaccination.

Varicella (Chicken Pox)

I agree to supply documentation of Varicella immunity OR evidence of two doses of Varicella vaccine.

Hepatitis B

I agree to supply documentation of one of the following regarding Hepatitis B (initial one only):

- ______ Evidence of obtaining the Hepatitis B vaccination series
- ______ Proof of immunity to Hepatitis B (positive Hepatitis B antibody titer)
- ______ A signed formal declination of the Hepatitis B vaccine

Urine Drug Screen (forensic) 8 or 9 panel

I agree to supply a copy of findings from a urine drug screen using chain of custody protocol and sent to a NIDA (SAMSHA) certified lab. I acknowledge that UCMC does not require testing for THC (marijuana).

COVID-19

I agree to supply documentation of completion of all recommended doses of a COVID-19 vaccine which is FDA approved/authorized.

Tetanus, Diphtheria, and Pertussis (TDAP)

I agree to supply documentation of TDAP immunization with the past 10 years.

Influenza Vaccination

I agree to supply evidence that I have received the annual influenza vaccination between September 1st and March 31st.

UCMC Process for the Academic Program

I acknowledge that the above are mandatory requirements set by UCMC. Neither the Academic Program nor DCT has the ability to waive or modify these requirements. I also acknowledge that the decision to pursue training at UCMC is my option, and if I do not wish to comply with these requirements, I may seek training at a non-UCMC facility. With respect to my Academic Program, whatever decision I make will have no consequential impact. I understand that this information provided herein and to be provided pursuant hereto will be used, stored, safeguarded and destroyed consistent with the Department of Psychology’s University of Chicago Medicine (UCMC)
Verification Process. Finally, I acknowledge and agree that the DCT will not, and cannot, endorse the UCMC Medical Regulatory Requirements for Students form until I have provided all information required herein.

I confirm that I am knowingly and freely agreeing to assume and take on all of the risks and responsibilities in any way associated with my participation in training at UCMC, including, without limitation, providing the information necessary for the DCT to endorse my training at UMC. In consideration of and return for the Academic Program permitting the DCT to do so, I, as and to the full extent allowed by law, hereby release Illinois Institute of Technology, its academic units, and/or its governing boards, employees and agents from any and all liability, claims and actions, excluding those arising from willful and wanton misconduct, that may arise from any injury or harm to me or from damage to property in connection with my participation in the UCMC training, including, without limitation, providing the information necessary for the DCT to endorse my training at UCMC. I understand that this release covers liability, claims and actions caused entirely or in part by any acts or failure to act of Illinois Institute of Technology, its academic units, and/or its governing boards, employees or agents, including, but not limited to, negligence, mistake or failure to supervise, but excludes willful and wanton conduct.

I understand and agree that should any of the information that I provide or submit pursuant hereto be untrue, false, forged or inaccurate that my Academic Program will promptly inform UCMC and that the Academic Program will pursue disciplinary action against me under applicable policies and procedures, which could ultimately result in my dismissal or expulsion from the Academic Program.

I HAVE CAREFULLY READ THIS AGREEMENT AND FULLY UNDERSTAND ITS CONTENTS. I AM AWARE THAT, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THIS IS A RELEASE OF LIABILITY. I HEREBY SIGN THIS AGREEMENT OF MY OWN FREE WILL, ACKNOWLEDGING THAT, PRIOR TO DOING SO, I HAD THE RIGHT TO CONSULT WITH AN ADVISOR, COUNSELOR OR ATTORNEY OF MY CHOOSING.

By my signature below, I represent and warrant that any and all of the information provided or submitted pursuant hereto is or will be true, complete and accurate, and I am freely and knowingly agreeing to the terms and provisions hereof.

______________________________  ____________________________
Signature                          Date
Clinical Psychology Program: Request to approve work hours as practicum hours

Student Name: _____________________________________________________________

Date of request: _____________________________

Site of activities: _________________________________________________________

Supervisor: ______________________________________________________________

Description of activities: describe how work activities are similar to clinical activities that are typically done on IIT practica
# IIT Clinical Psychology
Master’s Thesis and Dissertation Proposal Competency Evaluation Form

Student Name: _______________________________  Date: _______________

Faculty Name: _______________________________

Thesis  or  Dissertation

Rate the written and oral thesis/dissertation proposal on each competency below, using the following scale:

1 = Does not meet competency; 2 = Meets competency; 3 = Exceeds competency

## Introduction (including literature review)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competency</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Identifies relevant theories that provide study framework</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Articulates degree of research support for relevant theories</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Integrates relevant theory and empirical findings to generate testable predictions or research hypotheses (or with largely exploratory studies, justified study aims)</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Includes appropriate balance of depth and breadth regarding key study variables, constructs, theories, etc.</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Introduces potential real-world implications of the study (e.g., for research, clinical practice, public health).</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Balanced and without bias (e.g., including findings that both strengthen and weaken hypotheses)</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Has a logical flow</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Overall, study has a reasonable rationale</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competency</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9. Describes potential study participants, and rationale for selecting these participants</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Describes study procedures, e.g. recruitment and data collection methods</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Proposed study methods are feasible</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Describes and justifies all proposed measures and instruments used in the study</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Proposed data analytic approach is clear, appropriate to the collected data, and is best for addressing the hypotheses or answering the research questions</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Has a logical flow</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Ethics & Individual/Cultural Diversity

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Identifies and addresses any relevant ethical, legal, and/or professional standards or guidelines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Considers issues of individual and cultural diversity relevant to project; demonstrates awareness, knowledge, and skill with these issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Professionalism, Communication, and Readiness

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Demonstrates appropriate and effective communication skills during proposal meeting (e.g., clear and articulate verbal and non-verbal conveyance of information; effective and non-defensive responses to questions/criticisms/concerns)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Writes clearly, concisely, grammatically correctly, scientifically, and overall at an appropriate level for the Thesis/Dissertation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Attributes ideas to appropriate sources; uses references effectively to make points or arguments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Establishes self as an expert in their area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Proposes project that has potential to contribute to his or her respective field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall rating of Thesis/Dissertation Proposal:

- [ ] Approved
- [ ] Approved, pending revisions
- [ ] Not approved

Comments:

Committee Member Signature: ________________________________
# IIT Clinical Psychology
## Master’s Thesis and Dissertation Oral Defense Competency Evaluation Form

**Student Name:** ________________________________  
**Date:** ________________

**Faculty Name:** ________________________________

**Thesis** or **Dissertation**

Rate the thesis/dissertation and oral defense on each competency below, using the following scale:  
1 = Does not meet competency; 2 = Meets competency; 3 = Exceeds competency

### Introduction (including literature review)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competency</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Identifies relevant theories that provide study rationale and/or framework</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Articulates degree of research support for relevant theories</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Integrates relevant theory and empirical findings to generate testable predictions or research hypotheses (or with largely exploratory studies, justified study aims)</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Includes appropriate balance of depth and breadth regarding key study variables, constructs, theories, etc.</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Introduces potential real-world implications of the study (e.g., for research, clinical practice, public health).</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Balanced and without bias (e.g., including findings that both strengthen and weaken hypotheses)</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Has a logical flow</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competency</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8. Describes study participants, e.g. demographics, descriptive statistics of key study variables</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Describes study procedures, e.g., study recruitment and data collection methods</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Describes and defends all measures and instruments used in the study</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Data analytic approach is clear, appropriate to the collected data, and is best for addressing the hypotheses or answering the research questions</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Study methods reflect those agreed upon by the Committee</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Has a logical flow</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competency</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14. Uses measurable evidence to test specific hypotheses, or to explore logical or adequately reasoned research questions</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Conducts data analyses correctly</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Presents only and all relevant analyses, in correct format</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
17. When appropriate, integrates well-designed, non-redundant tables and figures to complement the text 1 2 3
18. Analyses reflect analytic plan agreed upon by the Committee 1 2 3
19. Has a logical flow 1 2 3

Discussion Section

20. Summarizes study findings accurately and succinctly. 1 2 3
21. Combines and integrates theories and/or empirical findings with current results to generate new integrative ideas and directions 1 2 3
22. Provides integrative summary of results and their implications that goes beyond restating the results, but without going too far by making claims not supported by the study 1 2 3
23. Provides accurate acknowledgement of study limitations, and when possible, comments attempting to mitigate those limitations 1 2 3
24. Articulates the real-world implications of the study results (e.g., for research, clinical practice, public health) 1 2 3
25. Has a logical flow 1 2 3

Ethics & Individual/Cultural Diversity

26. Identifies and addresses all relevant ethical, legal, and/or professional standards or guidelines 1 2 3
27. Considers issues of individual and cultural diversity relevant to project; demonstrates awareness, knowledge, and skill with these issues 1 2 3

Professionalism, Communication, and Readiness

28. Demonstrates appropriate and effective communication skills during oral defense (e.g., clear and articulate verbal and non-verbal conveyance of information; effective and non-defensive responses to questions/criticisms/concerns) 1 2 3
29. Writes clearly, concisely, and scientifically 1 2 3
30. Attributes ideas to appropriate sources; uses references effectively to make points or arguments 1 2 3
31. Establishes self as a leading expert in their area 1 2 3
32. Completes project that has potential to contribute to his or her respective field 1 2 3

Overall rating of Thesis/Dissertation Oral Defense: Pass [ ] Fail [ ]

Comments:

Committee Member Signature: _______________________________
IIT Clinical Psychology Comprehensive Examination Evaluation Form

This form indicates the competencies that the student is expected to demonstrate. It does not constitute an outline for the presentation itself.

1 = poor (inadequate); 2 = fair (weak, somewhat deficient); 3 = satisfactory (competent); 4 = very good (above average); 5 = outstanding (exceptional)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Case is presented in sufficient detail (i.e., communicates a sense of the person, his/her “difficulties,” and his/her context)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The student presents his/her own formulation/conceptualization of important aspects of the case and ends/justifies it based on theory and research from the literature and on clinical data.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Includes aspects of the following: diagnosis, assessment, etiology, treatment, and evaluation of treatment effectiveness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Formulation is appropriate to and is consistent with the case data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Demonstrates broad knowledge of the theoretical and empirical literature relevant to the formulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Demonstrates an integrative and critical understanding of the theoretical and empirical literature (i.e., formulates the current state of the relevant knowledge and attends to issues of validity and generalizability based on methodology)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Appropriately applies conclusions to understanding the case and to support the formulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Appropriately qualifies conclusions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engaging in a dialogue with faculty committee.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Understands questions posed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Responds to questions using a conceptual framework and empirical evidence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Takes a position, gives professional opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Demonstrates independent thinking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Considers alternatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Discusses the actual or potential ethical issues of the case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Discusses the actual or potential cultural factors in the case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Considers the strengths and weaknesses in how he/she handled the case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presents in a professional manner.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Presentation organized and easy to follow (regardless of complexity of case)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Visual aids support oral presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Does not read verbatim from slides or notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Absence of factually inaccurate information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Balances details and the “big picture”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Manages time and the flow of the presentation, including flexibility in transitions between presentation and discussion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Miscellaneous.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Goes above and beyond the comprehensive exam task</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass with distinction</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Fail (may repeat)</td>
<td>Second Fail (may not repeat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass conditional on</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments on back</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comprehensive Oral Examination Outline Feedback Form

The committee chair will complete this form upon approval of the student's comprehensive oral examination outline. The committee chair will then submit this form and the student's outline to the psychology faculty committee members for further review. Each psychology faculty committee member will complete and return this form to both the Committee Chair and the student.

Committee Chair

Student's Name

Committee Member's Name

Date Completed

Feedback regarding the student's outline:

- Approved
- Approved with comments
- Revise and resubmit for further review

Comments:
Department of Psychology Dissertation Policy (revised November, 2003)

The Department of Psychology at Illinois Institute of Technology offers only one doctoral degree: the Doctor of Philosophy in Psychology. Although each student has a program area of specialization, it is very important to remember that all graduate students pursuing the doctorate are working on the same degree, implementing the same dissertation process, and aiming at the same criteria and standards of excellence. The Doctor of Philosophy degree is a research degree. The dissertation should be the culmination of an extended program of study and research, which serves as a public testament of graduate quality and expertise. That is, the proposed research addresses a meaningful and unanswered question in the field, the underlying methodology has sufficient internal and external validity and the scope of the research project is sufficiently large to constitute a dissertation. As such, it must be an original contribution to the body of knowledge and readily available in the public domain. In no real sense is it a totally individual project, but rather the product of a committee of scholars that is communicated to the profession for evaluation and use.

By definition, the members of a Dissertation Committee have already demonstrated their professional skills and expertise. In contrast, the student is in the midst of an effort to demonstrate these skills and is seeking professional acceptance. Thus the student is working for acceptance as a peer by the members of a profession he or she wishes to join. This effort begins with individual faculty members and ends with the completion of a satisfactory oral examination.

Students’ responsibilities include the following:

A. a knowledge of the literature in their area of research,
B. a knowledge of the methods in their area of research,
C. ability to apply what they know to the problem,
D. ability to relate the results of their study back to the literature and
E. ability to communicate their research findings.

Faculty members serving on a Dissertation Committee must also have knowledge relevant to the area of research or be willing to get knowledge in the area of research in the course of the dissertation development. The membership of the committee should be primarily based on the expertise that the faculty members bring to the project, and not on other factors including interpersonal relationships or faculty reputation of being “easy” or “hard.” By the time the student arrives at the oral defense, he or she should be a leading expert in the area and the members of the Dissertation Committee should already be reasonably convinced that this is true. Thus, the student has the dual responsibility of selecting a problem relevant and suited to abilities of existing faculty members as well as demonstrating to the selected faculty that he or she has a full command of the problem area. Faculty members may decline to serve on Dissertation Committees related to problems for which they have no expertise and are not likely to contribute significantly.

The Chairperson of the Dissertation Committee holds a position of key significance and responsibility. The Chairperson is both a sounding board for the student as well as the
spokesperson of the Dissertation Committee to the student. Chairpersons should have or develop an extra knowledge in the problem area in which the student is expected to be an expert by the end of the dissertation process. The Chairperson of the Dissertation Committee is responsible for seeing to it that the requests of the committee are respected in full at all times and that the standards of excellence set by the committee are met prior to the oral examination. Once these standards are met, the Chairperson of the Committee is an aid to the student and a supportive peer. Even when the oral examination has been completed, the obligations of the Dissertation Committee Chairperson continue via possible dissertation document changes, assistance with employment efforts, and dissertation publication reminders.

The essence of the dissertation process is frequent and direct communication between the graduate student and Dissertation Committee Members at all points of development. Informing, instructing, and responding to all committee members is the primary responsibility of the graduate student. It is this frequent interaction that makes the dissertation a successful collaborative affair rather than an individual act. Satisfactory interactions should ensure a quality dissertation so that the oral examination will serve as an occasion for peers to welcome a new member to the profession. Dissertations are not Nobel-Prize-level acts nor are they archaic-public-rite chores. They are relevant public statements of research skill and graduate program quality.

**ELEVEN STEPS TO A DISSERTATION**

1. Select and meet with chairperson of Dissertation Committee to discuss proposal, e.g. statement of the problem, literature review and research design.

2. Select the other committee members and negotiate conditions for serving on the committee (e.g. they may want to see something in writing before agreeing to serve). Selection of committee members must be consistent with procedures specified by individual programs. All programs require a minimum of two people from the student’s program and a third member from among the psychology faculty to serve on any dissertation committee. A faculty member from outside the Department of Psychology and from within the University must be selected to serve on the dissertation committee as the fourth member. This fourth member is typically involved only in the final oral examination.

3. Write and distribute a copy of the proposal to each committee member after the chairperson has approved the version to be distributed. Committee members must have at least two weeks to read the proposal. Students have the option of meeting individually with committee members to make revisions in the proposal before the formal proposal meeting. The student schedules the proposal meeting no less than two weeks before the proposal meeting.

4. Write and circulate to each committee member a list of revisions agreed upon at the proposal meeting. A copy of the agreed-upon decisions and revisions, signed by each committee member, must be placed in the student’s portfolio before running the study. If for some unforeseen reason, any further changes are necessary in the opinion of the student, chairperson, or committee member (if appropriate, before the beginning of data collection), any of these
parties may request a second proposal meeting at which time changes may be made. It is understood that some unlikely event (such as publication of an identical study) will necessitate reconsideration.

5. Prior to the start of the study, the student must request and obtain the necessary approvals from the Human Subjects Institutional Review Board (IRB). The IRB approval is also needed for the use of archival and pilot data. The IRB approval must be renewed annually.

6. Conduct the study. If there are any major “in-process” changes in design or analyses due to unforeseen circumstances, the student must put these changes in writing and submit them as an addendum to the revised proposal. The proposed changes should be discussed, approved, and signed by each committee member, either individually or at a committee meeting called by the student and his or her advisor. Students will not be required to make significant additions or changes in design from that which was agreed upon in the proposals and addenda.

7. The student should analyze the data and write a draft of the dissertation. At this point, consultation with the chair should occur whenever the chair or student deems it necessary. The chair must approve the draft before it is distributed to other committee members as a proposed final manuscript. This process may require several revisions before the chair agrees that it is ready to be distributed. His or her approval means that the chair believes the manuscript is of sufficiently high quality, although revisions following review by other committee members may still be expected.

8. The Chair may sign the 501A Form after determining that the manuscript has all the necessary components. The student then obtains the signatures of other Committee members on the 501A Form. The student schedules an appointment with the thesis examiner to review the manuscript.

The signing of the 501A Form by the committee members and the approval of the manuscript by the thesis examiner must be completed at least five weeks prior to commencement.

9. The student schedules the oral examination (time, date and place) with a 301B form and must do so prior to two weeks before the date of the examination. The Committee members (including the outside reader) must be given a copy of the approved manuscript at least two weeks before the oral examination.

The Graduate College requires that the 301B Form be received in its Office of Academic Affairs by the second week of the semester in which the examination is going to be held. If the actual date for the oral examination appears later in the semester, the student must submit a revised 301B Form at least two weeks prior to the actual date of the final examination.

An announcement of the oral examination including the title of the dissertation, the date, time and place must be posted on the program’s bulletin board at least 2 weeks before the defense date. Prior to the defense, any committee member may call a work meeting if he or she
believes substantive changes are necessary and then the date of the defense must be rescheduled. The committee member should inform the chair and remainder of the committee of the general nature of the changes requested. The student then schedules a work meeting in which any or all committee members that feel they have contributions to make can attend. If a work meeting is required, the student modifies the dissertation and this step may be repeated until no committee member wants additional changes. The student and a committee member have the option of meeting individually for work meetings, but the student is responsible for notifying in writing other committee members of the nature of the change. If this step cannot be successfully completed, a final meeting can be scheduled to terminate the process.

10. The student defends the dissertation at the oral examination. The outside reader is usually present for the first time. However, at the dissertation chair’s discretion, the outside reader can be present at the proposal meeting. The student is expected to be an expert in the area and capable of communicating the results of the study and the implications for the field. The committee members sign the 501B Form if they concur that there are no substantial changes yet to be made.

A committee may fail a student who was unable to successfully (after repeated attempts) include the suggestions of her or his committee.

11. The student is responsible for making all changes directed by committee members and thesis examiner and to arrange for final bound copies of the dissertation to be forwarded to the Department of Psychology and to the advisor.

NOTE: The student may appeal his or her case to the Academic Standing Committee (consisting of Program Directors and the Chair and Associate Chair of the Department of Psychology) if disagreements arise as to whether he or she has followed the above procedures. If extenuating circumstances require a student to forego any of the above procedures and if the advisor agrees that the student should be granted permission to forego the procedure in question, the advisor and student can bring their request before the Academic Standing Committee for a decision.

Adherence to the philosophy and the procedures outlined above is the responsibility of the entire Department of Psychology community.

* Please check the Graduate College Bulletin for the most up-to-date information.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Milestone</th>
<th>Fall Y2</th>
<th>Spring Y2</th>
<th>Summer Y2</th>
<th>Fall Y3</th>
<th>Spring Y3</th>
<th>Summer Y3</th>
<th>Fall Y4</th>
<th>Spring Y4</th>
<th>Summer Y4</th>
<th>Fall Y5</th>
<th>Spring Y5</th>
<th>Summer Y5</th>
<th>Fall Y6</th>
<th>Spring Y6</th>
<th>Summer Y6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Successfully Complete Master's Thesis Proposal</td>
<td></td>
<td>Warning Period</td>
<td>Warning Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defend Master's Thesis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warning Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass Comprehensive Exams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warning Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warning Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warning Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successfully Complete Dissertation Proposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apply for Internships</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warning Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warning Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warning Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go on Internship</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defend Dissertation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Warning Period**

Refers to suggested time period for completing a milestone (although this may vary on a case-by-case basis)

Refers to a time period in which the student is placed in a warning period; if the milestone not achieved by the end of a warning period, this automatically triggers a review of the student's status in the program, with consequences up to an including a recommendation for dismissal from the program.

**Suggestions for individual plans for students already non-compliant with the milestone deadlines (Note: Summer is considered a semester in the suggestions below):**

- Two semesters between thesis proposal and defense before being warning period
- One semesters between Masters defense and passing comps before being in a warning period
- Two semesters between passing comps and dissertation proposal before being in a warning period
- Two years between dissertation proposal and dissertation defense being in a warning period
Illinois Tech Clinical Psychology
Milestone Deadline Exception Request Form

Student name: _______________________________  Today’s date: ________________

Research advisor name: _______________________________

Milestone for which you are seeking an exception (e.g., thesis defense)_____________________

The current deadline/date for above milestone __________________________

What type of exception are you seeking? Pause or Extension (circle one)

Extensions: the milestone deadlines can be changed to a later date without pausing the
program’s training timeline.

Pauses: the training timeline can be put on hold temporarily because of qualifying circumstances.
This requires a Leave of Absence from the program

Please describe your progress to date for meeting the established milestone deadline (75 words max)

Please describe the reason for your requested exception to the milestone deadline (250 word max)

Anticipated milestone completion date if exception were approved _______________________

Student Signature: _______________________________

Research Advisor Signature: _______________________________
## ILLINOIS INSTITUTE of TECHNOLOGY CLINICAL PROGRAM ANNUAL REVIEW FORM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Name:</th>
<th>Year in program:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adviser:</td>
<td>Academic year:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Milestones Completed (check all that apply):

- [ ] Masters proposal
- [ ] Masters defense
- [ ] Comprehensive exam
- [ ] Dissertation proposal
- [ ] Dissertation defense

Next program milestone and deadline:

**Please rate the student on the following competencies using this scale:**

1 = Development lags expectations, remedial action required
2 = Development lags expectations, address within advisor supervision
3 = Developing as expected towards basic competency
4 = Achieved basic competency
5 = Achieved advanced competency
NA = Not Applicable
UJ = Unable to judge

### SCIENCE/RESEARCH***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foundational knowledge</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>UJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates grasp of complex theoretical formulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aware of need for evidence to support assertions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formulates appropriate research questions and hypotheses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibits command of research designs in planning research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to implement statistical strategies appropriate for research area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participates in research in your lab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing is clear and organized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing consistent with APA scientific style</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
### ETHICS***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>UJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates awareness of ethical guidelines in research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates awareness of ethical guidelines in practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic work demonstrates ethical practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student’s research complies with all ethical guidelines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic work demonstrates ethical practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributes to IRB process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

### INDIVIDUAL AND CULTURAL DIVERSITY***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>UJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates awareness of individual and cultural diversity in research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates awareness of individual and cultural diversity in practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic work demonstrates appreciation for individual and cultural diversity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

### PROFESSIONALISM***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>UJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional in communications, behavior, and attitude</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conscientiousness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completes assignments/projects without being reminded</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets deadlines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness to feedback from advisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates good citizenship in the lab, clinical program, or other university activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

***All items in these sections are considered measures of Minimum Levels of Achievement and must receive a score of 3 or higher. Scores of less than 3 require program action. See the Program Handbook for more details.***
COURSEWORK
Competencies achieved in each course completed as evidenced by grades of A or B: yes no

If no, list course and grade and comment on competencies that were not achieved.

CLINICAL INTERVENTION AND ASSESSMENT COMPETENCIES
Achieved a rating of 3 (“Developing as expected towards basic competency”) or above on all applicable items on the Practicum Evaluations this academic year (i.e., excluding items rated as NA)?

yes no

If no, list items on Practicum Evaluation with ratings below a 3 and comment on competencies that were not achieved or developing as expected.

**ADDITIONAL DOMAINS OF COMPETENCE (rate all that apply)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competency not achieved</th>
<th>Competency achieved</th>
<th>Exceeds competency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course instructor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing Supervision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interprofessional/interdisciplinary skills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership (e.g., lab, committee chair)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verification of Review

*By signing this form, you confirm that you have discussed this review in detail with your supervisor. Signing this form does not necessarily indicate that you agree with this evaluation.*

**Adviser Signature** Date

**Student Signature** Date

Faculty review meeting date: ___________________________________________

Comments from Faculty Review meeting:
Is the Student Currently on Probation and/or receiving Remediation?
If on probation or receiving remediation, complete next page
**PROBATION AND/OR REMEDIATION STATUS**

Detailed Reason for Probation and/or Remediation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date Entering Instructions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Remedial Plan Implemented</td>
<td>Click here to enter a date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Remedial Plan Reviewed (every 6 months)</td>
<td>Click here to enter a date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Feedback Provided</td>
<td>Click here to enter a date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Remedial Plan Terminated (every 6 months)</td>
<td>Click here to enter a date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Probation Terminated (every 6 months)</td>
<td>Click here to enter a date.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Department of Psychology

Policy and Process for the Evaluation and/or Dismissal of Students

Policy adopted 9/29/2009, revised 2014 to be consistent with status as a department
Process added 12/4/2018

We are dedicated to graduating students of the highest caliber professional training and preparation. It is our hope that students can complete our degree programs in a timely fashion and flourish as professionals in the psychology fields of their choice. In order to provide feedback and monitoring, we have a regular and predictable set of reviews. This process also allows us to identify those students who might not be progressing as they should. All students are evaluated at least once per year and usually more often by the faculty of the program(s) with which they are affiliated. The evaluation of students in psychology is based on a number of objective and subjective criteria associated with the standards of the Department of Psychology and the specifics of the program in which the student is enrolled. This policy applies to all programs and they are consistent with our approach to the evaluation of students for admission. In addition, each program has a written policy that is the basis for evaluation that falls under these general guidelines. Consideration for dismissal may occur as a result of this evaluation process or as a consequence of student behaviors or issues as they arise and as noted below. This document sets forth the due process for evaluation and dismissal within the Department of Psychology. The student handbook and the academic bulletins set forth the university policies.

Undergraduate status is governed by the fine print of the student handbook. In addition to meeting the fundamental requirements of the training program in which a student is enrolled, all graduate students in psychology must maintain adequate progress toward the degree and show evidence of acquisition of high level professional skills required by the profession. The Department is committed to graduating professionals of the highest caliber and we reserve the right to undertake assessments and render judgments focused on the suitability of students to be psychology professionals. The determination of grounds for dismissal is made by the faculty of the program in which the student is enrolled and the Department Academic Standing Committee. Graduate students may appeal to the Graduate College; undergraduate dismissals occur with the involvement of the Office of Undergraduate Affairs and/or Dean of Students and appeal processes are set forth in the student bulletin. In most cases, determination of dismissal occurs in the context of a pattern of issues in which the student has been provided feedback and an opportunity for remediation. However, there are some situations that may result in summary dismissal as noted below. Examples of the evaluation domains and causes for consideration of dismissal include, but are not limited to the following:

(1) Failure to make adequate academic progress in course work.

This domain is relevant for graduate students. Undergraduate academic status provides for more flexibility. Graduate students must recognize that in graduate courses, a grade of "C" is technically passing but is considered to reflect poor performance. Thus, the presence of "C" or lower grades signals a problem in graduate level academic performance. Additionally, each program has articulated a sequence of courses that must be completed in a timely fashion as determined by the program of study (401). Grades of "C" or lower would not constitute the sole
basis for a decision to terminate a student unless there is overall academic failure but would be weighed in the overall assessment of performance. Dismissal solely on the basis of poor academic performance is defined in the graduate bulletin.

(2) **Failure to make adequate progress in the acquisition of independent research skills.**

   This domain is most relevant to doctoral graduate students but we expect that undergraduates will complete a capstone project that involves research under the supervision of a psychology faculty member. The Department of Psychology is committed to scientific psychology and evidence-based practice. As part of this we expect all our students to have a firm grasp of research and demonstrate skill in design, analysis, interpretation of findings, collection and manipulation of data, statistics, and understanding of literature at the level relevant to the training program and degree. Students not showing adequate development of research acumen will receive notice of these concerns via feedback from the advising faculty and/or program faculty.

(3) **Failure to demonstrate development of, or participate in, an appropriate level of group based research activities.**

   Research activity requirements as part of a lab or team, are defined and governed by individual programs and faculty. If relevant, work in this domain is one of the many elements reviewed as part of the overall evaluation of student progress and performance. Undergraduates involved in lab based faculty research are expected to conduct themselves in a reliable fashion as part of the research team, whether within psychology or in a research based IPRO. Students in masters programs requiring a thesis also must complete research; students in non-thesis programs may or may not participate in research. All graduate doctoral programs require participation in research, frequently within faculty labs or teams. In all cases, students must adhere to the expectations and standards of the lab or team in which they are a member. In broad brush, students are expected to conduct themselves professionally, attend meetings unless excused, participate fully, and follow through on responsibilities assigned.

(4) **Failure to make adequate progress in the acquisition of professional applied skills.**

   This area of assessment is relevant to graduate students. All graduate programs have requirements for internships and/or practica that must be completed successfully. The Department cannot guarantee placement of students even if required by the degree program and or licensing boards because these decisions are made by the agencies, not by IIT. We will make every effort to assist students to obtain placements but given the competitive nature of placements we cannot guarantee these, particularly for students in the lower third of their peer group. Students unable to complete these requirements may be subject to dismissal or, if appropriate, they may be granted a degree different from the one in which they originally enrolled.

(5) **Failure to follow the terms of an academic probation requirement.**

   Probation for undergraduates is defined by the Office of Undergraduate Academic Affairs as set forth in the student handbook. Graduate students may be placed on probation for cause based on the criteria set forth herein by recommendation of the Department of Psychology Academic Standing committee and faculty of the degree program in which the student is enrolled.
Any student placed on probation will receive a written statement of needed actions. If the terms of probation are not completed in the time specified, a student may be terminated from the degree program with a right to appeal to the Graduate College.

(6) **Professional unsuitability.**

This area is relevant primarily to graduate students. Psychology is a profession that requires a high level of professional comportment and conduct. Interpersonal conduct that represents a significant impediment to working effectively and ethically with current or future clients, students, faculty, other colleagues, or other possible consumers may be the basis for termination on the grounds of professional unsuitability. If an issue arises under this domain that is of sufficient seriousness, this could be the grounds for dismissal, in and of itself.

(7) **Violation of policy on academic honesty or ethics.**

As specified in the student handbook and graduate bulletin, violations of academic honesty or ethical standards as set forth by the APA may result in termination. All Psychology students are bound by standards of professional conduct as specified by the American Psychological Association (see Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct).

(8) **Felony conviction.**

Conviction of a felony may be considered adequate cause for dismissal; other convictions of lesser offenses may be considered as evidence of problematic behavior falling under item 6 above. Students should be aware that anything falling into this category may also affect licensing, job placement, and potential employment.

**Graduate Student Dismissal Process**

1. The faculty of the degree program in which the student is enrolled meets to discuss if plausible grounds exist for believing that dismissal based on the Department Policy on the Evaluation and/or Dismissal of Students.

2. If the program faculty so determine, the Program Director shall draft a letter to the student that includes the following:
   - A statement that the program faculty has found plausible grounds for dismissal based on the Department Policy on the Evaluation and/or Dismissal of Students.
   - A summary of the reasons for this determination in accordance with the Department’s Policy on Evaluation and/or Dismissal of Students.
   - A summary of prior communication, if any, regarding the basis for dismissal. If appropriate, the letter will describe specific remedial steps requested and not achieved.
• A statement that the student has the right to present his/her case before a departmental Academic Review Committee at a specified time and date. The Academic Review Committee will consider evidence from both the student and the program before reaching a final determination. The student will have an opportunity to present relevant evidence and witnesses related to the material facts at issue. The student will also be informed that he or she may have an advisor present at the meeting, whose role shall be limited to advising the student and who will not be allowed to participate in the presentation before the Academic Review Committee.

• The student must notify the Department Chair in writing of intent to meet with the departmental Academic Review Committee. If this notification from the student is not received by the date specified, lack of response will be considered acceptance by the student of the dismissal recommendation.

• The date of the Academic Review Committee meeting and the deadline for notifying the department chair of intent to meet with the committee should be no less than five (5) business days after the student has been notified of the program’s decision.

• A copy of the departmental Policy on Evaluation and/or Dismissal of Students (including the procedures described here) should be included with the letter.

3. The draft letter should be sent to the Department Chair for review and approval prior to sending it to the student. After approval, the letter should be signed by both the Chair and the Program Director. The letter should be sent to the student at his or her iit.edu e-mail address, and the letter, for all purposes, will be deemed received by the student on the date that it is so sent.

4. The Chair will form an Academic Review Committee consisting of three (3) Category I or II faculty who are not affiliated with the student’s program.

5. The Academic Review Committee will meet to review the grounds for dismissal stated by the program. If requested by the student, the Academic Review Committee will meet with the student on the date specified. The student will be allowed to present, in person or in writing, his or her arguments for avoiding dismissal, as well as evidence and witnesses regarding material facts supporting those arguments. The Academic Review Committee will also communicate with the program recommending dismissal, and may request additional information from the student and relevant faculty, either in writing or via an in-person meeting.

6. At the conclusion of its process, the Academic Review Committee will make a recommendation to the Department Chair, who will make the final decision regarding dismissal.

7. The Department Chair will send a letter to the student setting forth the final decision regarding the dismissal and the bases therefor. The letter should be sent to the student at his or her iit.edu e-mail address, and the letter, for all purposes, will be deemed received by the student on the date that it is so sent. If the student is not dismissed, the letter should specify any conditions of continued enrollment, if appropriate. If the student is dismissed, the letter should note that the student may appeal the decision in writing to the

Dean of Lewis College of Human Sciences within five (5) business days of the dismissal notice. Supporting information must be included with the appeal. An appeal to the Dean is
limited to the following reasons:

- The procedures outlined above were not substantially followed and such occurrence reasonably could be deemed to have impacted the outcome;
- The sanction imposed was inappropriate for the offense; and
- New evidence that was not available at the time of the original decision, not due to the fault or lack of diligence of the student, has become available.

The Dean will render a decision on the appeal within 20 business days of its receipt. The Dean may (i) uphold the decision and the dismissal, (ii) reverse the dismissal and/or modify (enhance or lessen) any conditions or restrictions, or (iii) return the matter to the Academic Review Committee for reconsideration.